



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49779
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of BIM Adoption Efforts by Developed Countries as Precedence for New Adopter Countries
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The aim of this paper was to set a unique precedence through comparative analysis of BIM adoption trends in the USA, UK and Australia to set a pace for beginners or early BIM adopting countries to learn from.</p> <p>The document is very interesting and it is well written and well structured. The English and the arrangement of the paper is sound, and its flow makes it readable and comprehensible.</p> <p>The authors made a very accurate and factual analysis BIM adoption efforts by the United States of America, the United Kingdom and by Australia.</p> <p>The Authors compared great detail and accurately both the process and legislative efforts of USA, UK and Australia in BIM adoption and implementation within their respective construction markets.</p> <p>I accept this paper in present form.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariusz Szóstak
Department, University & Country	Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland