SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_46800
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient Status of Leachates from Selected Dumpsites in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Underneath Abstract Line 7: Correct as highlighted; and analyzed (for NO ₃ ⁻ PO ₄ ³⁻ , SO ₄ ²⁻ and NH ₄ ⁺). Line 11: Correct as highlighted; (seasonally) but there was high level of Underneath Introduction Line 5: Correct as highlighted; that about three-fourth of developing countries Line 14: Correct as highlighted; surface water, groundwater and even air and soil.	
	Line 37: Should be make bold as highlighted; <mark>Waste Management and Disposal</mark> Practices in Port Harcourt	
	Line 39-40: Correct as highlighted; It lies along the Bonny River and between Longitude 6°59'55 [°] and Latitude 4°47'21. Please provide reference for this.	
	Underneath Materials and Methods	
	Line 10: Correct as highlighted; the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria.	
	Line 21: Correct as highlighted; sampling technique but controlled by the	
	Line 48: Correct as highlighted; pollutants such as NH_4^+ and NO_3^- etc. and	
	Line 49-52: Correct as highlighted; Figures 1-5 shows the maps of the study locations (sampled dumpsites at Igbo-Etche, Eluozo and Rumuolumeni).	
	Underneath Results and Discussion	
	Line 8-9: Correct as highlighted; Table 3 gives the results of Eluozo dumpsite leachate during the	
	Please, the vertical and horizontal axes of Figure 3 and 4 are not clear, label properly. Line 99- 100: Correct as highlighted; in both seasons whereas graphs were used to illustrate trends and are shown in Figures 2, 3 and 4 for the three dumpsites.	
	Line 135: Correct as highlighted; of 5mg/l except those recorded at the Rumuolumeni.	
	Line 139: Correct as highlighted; Unsegregated waste, volume and nature of the leachate formation	
	Please define the symbol % at first use before using it subsequently.	
	Underneath Conclusion and Recommendation	
	Line 18: Correct as highlighted; Niger Delta Region especially considering the oil exploration and	
	Conclusion involves a brief summary of your finding and not discussion of your results. Please review and correct as appropriate.	
	Note: Make bold the following:	

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	 Abstract Introduction Materials and Methods Results and Discussions Conclusion and Recommendation 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Animetu Rawlings
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Nigeria

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her