

SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Chemical Science International Journal |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CSIJ_46800 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutrient Status of Leachates from Selected Dumpsites in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agree |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| | | highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here) |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Make sure you tie your conclusion to your research objectives Correct all citations using latest APA without italics | |
| | Provide. | |
| | Rewrite the materials and method and make it reproducible experiment | |
| | Methodology description is inaccurate | |
| | Place your manuscripts into sections and subsections Remove redundancies in results and discussions | |
| | Use appropriate scientific language and structure | |
| | Discuss your data, table by table or parameter by parameter or sampling site by | |
| | sampling site. Avoid mix up. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| | Abstract overall appears fine but needs the changes below | |
| | Birthuse a technical term or phrase | |
| | recoverchange to reclaim | |
| | remediation processesgive 2 examples alongsideuse a power verb | |
| | | |
| | INTRODUCTION appears clumsy and lack conviction that neccissitated your research | |
| | since Aboho et al.(2012) & Nartey et al. (2012) did similar research. Please rewrite | |
| | introduction based on what is known, what is unknown and what is about to be known. | |
| | three-fourthchange to 3/4 or three quarter. | |
| | The 1st sentence talked about benefits and second sentence talked about unfriendliness; | |
| | plz use transitionla verb to shown comparison or contrast etc. | |
| | Nastyremovechange to.offensive etc Positschangeuse discussed, suggested, or indicated. This is a science paper. | |
| | Though Njoku 2015sentence is too long. break it up ito two. | |
| | Waste managment & disposalcompletely remove. never add a subheading in | |
| | introduction. however, link the two together using previous research. | |
| | Or move it to be under materials and method and subtitle it: Site description etc. | |
| | MATERIALS AND METHODthere is poor research design. | |
| | plz indicate your sampling protocol? | |
| | WHY did you choose those sites? plz indicate | |
| | The towns/cities in your map arent eligible. plz improve or provide study site GPS coordinates. | |
| | Provide GPS coordinats of maps & remove map, 2, 3, 4. | |
| | Rename your map: the name was clumsy. | |
| | Integrated samples were collected bysentence is dullplz recast. | |
| | Diamond standard integratedremove completely. Should be mentioned earlier under site/equipment description paragraph. | |
| | Bashir et al. (2009)Latest APA does not italice et al. | |
| | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONreadability is very low. Authors need to improve. | |
| | 0.963±0.65mg/lseparate all figures/numbers from measurmet units | |
| | Figure 5 should be moved to materials and method and labelled 5a, b, c,d. 4 pix is enough. You must not cluster your other graphs and tables at same placePlz separates them | |
| | and makes each appear on manusscript as you discuss it. | |
| | and makes each appear on manusscript as you discuss it. | |

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | moreover you can shrink the graph sizes so that two are shown at once in a box to make your work original. in tendemplz change | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | The materials and methods should be key findings only and a few abnormality, and summarative sentences for other parameters. This isnt a thesis. hence reduce to maximum 7 pages including graphs & tables. your graphs and tables are not properly placed and oversized. plz reduce sizes. It is worthy to noteremove completely. The government should periodically monitorsentence is too long, recast into 2 sentences. Remove the word privilege literature and replace with findings. Please provide a more scientific recommendation like advising the natives or nearby neighbourhood. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Department, University & Country | Federal University, Nigeria |

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her