SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_46881	
Title of the Manuscript: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE ISOLATED FROM THE POD HUSK AND STALK OF FLUTED PUMPKIN		
Type of the Article		

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	While I appreciate the care with which it was carried out, I have several limitations. Reference and writing may be improved. Based on downstream-based total mass balance (by practical applications; e.g., cellulolytic cascades/mechanisms) as compared to previous ones, their case studies may be reconsidered and discussed. The quality of scientific meaning may be general.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jin Seop Bak
Department, University & Country	Kyonggi University, South Korea

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)