



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_47016
Title of the Manuscript:	Therapeutic effects of bee venom
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 20; Please start by telling us what bee venom is. We may not know. Line 93; remove word 'and'. Line 94; replace the word 'deriving' with 'derived'. Please you did not inform us about the therapeutic effect of bee venom in relation to Parkinson's disease; you only told us the pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease. Line 104 to 106, 108 to 114; very brilliant and straight to the point. Good job.	
Minor REVISION comments	none	
Optional/General comments	Well-constructed manuscript. The author strategically nailed the point with short sentences in few pages. Kudos.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilochi Nwabunwanne Ogadinma
Department, University & Country	Madonna University, Nigeria