## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



Ve

# **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

### PART 1:

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_39220
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSES AND COMPARATIVE IN-VITRO ANTI- OXIDANT STUDIES OF AQUEOUS, ETHANOL AND METHANOL ROOTBARK EXTRACTS OF Simarouba glauca DC (Paradise tree)
New Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL IDENTIFICATION AND COMPARATIVE IN-VITRO ANTI-OXIDANT STUDIES OF AQUEOUS, ETHANOL AND METHANOL ROOTBARK EXTRACTS OF Simarouba glauca DC (Paradise tree)
Type of Article:	Research Article

#### PART 2:

PART 2:				
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)		Authors' response to final evaluator's comments		
•	Author is recalcitrant in correcting previous			
	vations which are aim to improve the quality of			
	rticle. These observations are still outline below;			
	RACT			
	The content of the study design need to be change;			
	because it's not reflecting the study design.			
2				
	section. Rather use 'were evaluated by standard			
_	method.'			
3.	Keywords should be separated by comma and not			
INITO	semicolon.			
	DDUCTION Line F. delete 'and' often femily simply who are and			
1.	Line 5: delete 'and' after family simaroubaceae and			
2	use 'are' instead.			
	Citations in introductions are not properly done. For e.g. you start with 1, 2, 3, the next one should be 4			
	not 18.			
3	You can make your botanical classification into a			
5	sentence and then insert into line 5.			
4. After the common names, this citation was				
7.	given "Technical Data Report for Simarouba			
	glauca (2002)". Is this a citation or what? If it is, it			
	should be cited properly and should be reflected in			
	the reference list.			
MATERIALS AND METHODS:				
1.				
	important.			
	Author should note that this is not project report			
	rather journal article. List of chemicals have no			
	meaning on their own. The usage of each chemical			
	mentioned is best explain in the method where it			
	was used. Consequently, making a list is a kind of			
	tautology. Any one that want to repeat the same			
	work will not look at the list of chemicals, rather will			
	look at the method that make use of the chemicals.			
2	Include statistical tool; use statistical tool to			
	compare the findings of the different parameters.			
3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	done.			

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



Ve

### **SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

4. Italicised Simarouba glauca in lead acetate and sulphuric acid test.

#### **CITATIONS:**

- Citations in introductions are not properly done. For e.g. you start with 1, 2, 3, the next one should be 4 not 18.
- 2. No citation for total antioxidant assay.
- 3. After citation 11, there is no 12 and 15.
- 4. After citation 17, the next citation was 22. What about 18, 19, 20 and 21.

NB: all citations should be arranged serially.

#### **REFERENCE:**

1. All the references need to be formatted in line with the requirement of the journal. Check author's guidelines of the journal for detail.

NB: all these suggestions were previously made, but were returned same without been corrected.

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Musa Yakubu Tula
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic Mubi, Nigeria