



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_42694
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxic Activity of Tinospora bakis (Irg al-hagar) roots in Wistar Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Please state the effort that was made to identify the specific compounds in the plant extract or at least the potential compounds that might present in the plant and if known include structure.</p> <p>2. It will make it interesting if the authors include what kind of compounds in the plant might cause the effect that was seen in the experiment. They can include this in the discussion part.</p> <p>3. Any reason why only male Wistar albino rats were used? Why female rats were not used? It might be interesting to include this in the future experiment.</p> <p>2. The abstract should be written in one page document expressing all that is expressed in the document but without partitioning it as aim, methodology, result and conclusion.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matthewos Eshete
Department, University & Country	Mississippi Valley State University (MVSU), USA