

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45379
Title of the Manuscript:	
	Effect of methanolic extract of Ocimum gratissimum on blood pressure, some electrolytes, renal and cardiac biomarker wistar rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

ers in 8% NaCl induced hypertensive male



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	2.3 Animal Study Design should be Experimental Design Clearly explain the induction method with 8% NaCl. The induction was daily or weekly?	
	In-text citation should not be in superscript rather in bracket such as The leaf extract of <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> showed anti-diabetic properties [1, 2] and antibacterial activities [3, 4].	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 8 Scent should be scent: Effect the corrections all through Line 11 wistar should be Wistar: Effect the corrections all through Line 40 drug side effects remove drug Line 63 6011 ^o C correct as 1°C not zero Line 71 2weeks separate 2 weeks Line 81 water not waters Line 82: Group 2 (Untreated hypertensive) -Induction with 8% NaCl for 4weeks and subsequently no The statement above is hanging; complete the sentence Line 105 Lethality correct it as lethality Line 105 and 108: Behavioural and behavior Be consistent in your spelling either British or American but I prefer British. Line 110 using the formular below add below Line 115 remove 13 Line 116 remove 1973 put [13] Line 118 separate the units from the value or figure. Effect these all through your write up Lines 119 and 125 capital C not small Lines 130, 131,140, 141, 156, 158, 180, 181, 189, 190, 213, 214, 231 and 232 same as in line 116 Lines 133, 134, 160, 161, 163, 164, 166, 167, 192, 194, 196, and others separate units from values Line 160 remove (1974) add put [16] line CK in full the CK in bracket line CK in full Line 250 (1977) remove and put [22] Line 258 method [23] was Line 281 <i>Vernonia amgydalina</i> should be removed Line 289 wistar should be Wistar; look should be study	
Ontional/Conoral comments	Accepted subject to effecting recommended corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed v
		highlight that part in the manuse
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		
·		

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ugwu Melvin Nnaemeka
Department, University & Country	Cross River University of Technology, Nigeria