SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45624
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Investigation and In-vitro antifungal activity of Essential Oil from the Roots of Selinum vaginatum C.B. Clarke
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	The author is advised to re-write the abstract and it should be restricted mainly to aim of the study, methodology or experimental, results and conclusion. The abstract need not be sectionalized rather it should be a free flow passage with the aim leading to the methodology and so on. And, it should not be personalisedso phrases such as our aim or our study should read "the study"—	
Minor REVISION comments	There is a need for a general check on the language (grammatical and spelling) of the paper. Substantial part of the `result section was repeated in the discussion section. The author is advised to collapse the two sections into "Results and Discussion" so as to avoid unnecessary repetition of thoughts. The first paragraph in the discussion section should be moved to the introduction section. There was no reference to the figures in any section of the article and the figures should be Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.	
Optional/General comments	The author is advised to improve the general language of the paper.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullahi Sobola
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)