



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45624
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Investigation and In-vitro antifungal activity of Essential Oil from the Roots of Selinum vaginatum C.B. Clarke
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION is a very huge paragraph. Break up INTRODUCTION into 2 or more paragraphs. Perhaps at: These medicinal properties of plants are the result of various.... As earlier reported, that the compound selinidin,.....	
Optional/General comments	This is a well prepared and useful study concerning "Phytochemical Investigation and In-vitro antifungal activity of Essential Oil from the Roots of Selinum vaginatum" I believe the study will be appreciated by readers. It is a good summation of the analysis of natural material for antimicrobial application.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA