SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_45624
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Investigation and In-vitro antifungal activity of Essential Oil from the Roots of Selinum vaginatum C.B. C
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	 You used 2 GCs but mentioned only 1 detector; MS, what is the other one? You should discuss why you used 2 GC systems. What are the differences between the results in figure 3 and figure 4. Why? 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand

Clarke

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write