



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_47123
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate and Elemental Analysis of Three Medicinal Plants: Cuscuta relfexa, Cassia tora and Cassia fistula
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I did not understand the purpose of having done it, and I did not understand the reason for choosing these 3 species either. What is the rationality of choice? What do these plants have to do with each other? Why determine these elements? What does this result mean for humanity? The medicinal use of these plants was cited, but the medicinal use is not related to the analyzed elements, but to the secondary metabolites, which were hardly mentioned. If these elements interfere with secondary metabolism, how does this happen? Deepen it! For me, this article must be completely reformulated. Think about the relevance of these studies and rewrite everything around it. If necessary, perform further experiments to evaluate the secondary metabolites.  I also noticed some errors throughout the text, so please carefully review English, punctuation and spacing.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Paula Mendonça Leite</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil</i></b>