



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_47789
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of pine needle mulch and irrigation frequency on the yield of <i>Origanum syriacum</i> under open field condition.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> Abstract should be in a single paragraph. Abstract should be precise and should be crisp. Abstract should be changed without sub titles Scientific names should be italics Since many people are not aware of this crop, picture should be included</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author can include some photographs which will add more value to the article</li> <li>• Net plot size must be included</li> <li>• Graphical representation of data can be added</li> </ul> <p><b>Reference</b> Standard reference format in APA format should be followed While quoting references in reference section, et al., should not be used. All the authors name must be mentioned.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The study is new and unique and choice of crop is new.</li> <li>• Introduction is relevant to the topic with sufficient data</li> <li>• Materials and Methods are clear and the design chosen is good and appropriate to the study.</li> <li>• Tables are well arranged with observed data</li> <li>• Results and discussion are good with proper evidences</li> </ul> <p>The results are well expressed by means of graphs is appreciable</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

		<i>write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Uma Maheswari M.</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</i>