SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_37362
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF AMARANTH-SOY-WHEAT COMPOSITE FLOURS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Technological quality and rheological properties of the composite flour dough should be evaluated by machines such as farinograph, RVA,etc, in comparison with wheat dough (control), especially for <i>roti</i>. When new food products are developed, nutritional value should be determined for the final products, not for composite flours, because composition of single flour is well known; thus it is easy to calculate the proximate composition of flours composite. So what is new or useful in your study? I don't think that acceptable lapsi can be made from flour, because it is commonly made from boiled broken grains (particularly wheat), not flour. Images for the developed products and control should be provided in the manuscript. The introduction is not well written and more information about roti and lapsi should be included. More details about preparation of flour and food products should be provided in the section of Materials and Methods, for example no information about preparation of amaranth flour. The data in Table 1 and 2 are not useful, because the products made from 3 different flours (wheat + amaranth+ soy bean), not from only 2 (wheat + amaranth or wheat + soy bean). The whole manuscript should be revised for the English usage and grammar mistakes as well as the rules of scientific writing. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Salah Moussa Saleh
Department, University & Country	Assiut University, Egypt

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)