

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_37362
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF AMARANTH-SOY-WHEAT COMPOSITE FLOURS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 46-48: Specify how the selection of grains and the sugar have been made? Based on which criteria? Line 55: 2 or 3h? Could you be more precise on the time of soaking? Line 72: Specify the composition of the panel, the members. Table 1: Specify the units of measure (%) Table 2: Specify the units of measure (%) Table 6: Expand the acronym TCHO , ACHO , PCHO Line 201: Table 6 It would be interested to know the limitations of the study and what will be the steps forward	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilaria Proietti
Department, University & Country	Italy

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write