



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_47048
Title of the Manuscript:	Compliance with use of micronutrient powder among caregivers of children 6-23 months of age in a district of a North East state in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title show to should be modify to "Evaluation of the Compliance with use of micronutrient powder among caregivers of children 6-23 months of age in a district of a North East state in Nigeria".</p> <p>Check for grammatical errors a few has been corrected and are highlighted in yellow in the manuscript.</p> <p>In figure 2, the write up below the bar charts are not showing further. They are interfered with.</p> <p>Table 5 is also not clear. Present it properly. The occupation of spouse did not sum 218. Verify.</p> <p>There should be a better way to present the p values of the result. The way it was presented makes it difficult for young researchers and other audience who are not into researchers.</p> <p>Buck of the references was not in accordance with SDI authors' guideline.</p> <p>To facilitate the use of MNP use studies in other states of Nigeria, you could include a sample of your questionnaire in the materials and methods section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Fix the above point and it will be good to go.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria