



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48062
Title of the Manuscript:	CALCIUM-RELATED POST-HARVEST PHYSIOLOGICAL DISORDERS OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES FOUND IN ESWATINI: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors submitted very interesting manuscript, which deals with fruit agriculture in Eswatini. The special attention of the author was given to effects of calcium on the agriculture products. At beginning, the authors describes physiological aspects of calcium on plant growth in general. Further, they deals with the calcium effects on the various types of fruit quality categories such as granulation, bitter pits etc.</p> <p>The review is comprehensive and includes briefly all relevant aspects of calcium in plant agriculture. Moreover, the manuscript is prepared with care. All aspects of the manuscript topic are properly discussed and explained. The authors cite the most important references. Therefore, I recommend the manuscript for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vladimir Chobot
Department, University & Country	University of Vienna, Austria