

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_48791
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical and nutritional evaluation of cookies with different levels of Rosehip and Hibiscus powder substitution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

ion



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Autho manus manda here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Compulsory REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments	Abstract For sensory quality the CF2 formulation recast standard procedures which standard methodology is it AACC? plz indicate conclusion: 1st letter upper case has found greatest in all formulations recast hibicus wrong spelling lintroduction preservespreservative Materials and methods (50 C)incorrect Table titles comes before table plz. Also footnotes are of smaller font size and your footnote has so much description. please reduce and move some to sentences. "OF- Cookie formulation unacceptable. your table is unnecessary wide. reduce size please discuss your table very well and make mention of it in the discussions. Please reduce and move some to sentences. "OF- Cookie formulation unacceptable. your table is unnecessary spacing of the formulas and their letter connotations. It's too much of redundancies since you're not the one who discovered the formula. reproduce ther finely and neatly in a tabular form or shorter form not more than 1 page. because several researchers have used them and it becomes unnecessary spacing of the formulas and their letter connotations. It's too much of redundancies since you're not the one who discovered the formula. reproduce ther finely and neatly in a tabular form or shorter form not more than 1 page. because several researchers have used them and it becomes unnecessary spacing of the formula set theric constations. It's too much of redundancies since you're not the one who discovered the formula. reproduce thery (1 of 2 hs	
Optional/General comments	overall poor punctuations on the references. You must be consistent and use any of Refworks, endnote, mendeley or Ms word reference.	

hor's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the nuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is ndatory that authors should write his/her feedback

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write