



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Blood Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_48951
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic Pharmacological Effect of Leaf Extracts of Ficus exasperata and Telfeira occidentalis on Chloramphenicol - Induced Anaemia in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Congratulations on the article. I will make some comments to enrich the work.</b></p> <p><b>1) Summary</b>  <b>Objective - It was not clear whether the purpose of the work is to evaluate effect or validate. Please further clarify the purpose.</b>  <b>Results- Chloramphenicol - it is already known that this compound induces anemia in rats so it should not be part of its result as something unheard of. Highlight the result that was proposed in its title of work and methodology, the effect of plants.</b></p> <p><b>2) Introduction</b>  <b>- The introduction is well written, but when you start talking about the two floors gets confused.</b>  <b>- Start talking about anemia in the world and the country studied with epidemiological data.</b>  <b>-After describing in general how medicinal plants can help anemia and cite the plants, talk about their roles in anemia with scientific data.</b>  <b>-Justify the importance of this study and describe the purpose.</b></p> <p><b>3) Methods</b>  <b>- How much of the mice used in the study, this was not spoken. Excluded some of the study, some died or got lost ... make it clear how many were selected and how many were actually used for the study.</b></p> <p><b>-Acute Toxicity Study - the authors need to further detail the method used. The text was left without the main information. Putting the reference of the method does not tell me anything. Please put the method and your importance to the study.</b></p> <p><b>- Do the statistical data used measure the effect of the studied plants on the rat population? Measuring effect means showing whether they are synergistic, additive, antagonistic or cholinergic. Review the statistical analysis.</b></p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b>  <b>- Consider that when we measure effect we need to show it.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Karen Cordovil</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Oswaldo Cruz Foudation , Brazil</i></b>