



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_48951
Title of the Manuscript:	Synergistic Pharmacological Effect of Leaf Extracts of <i>Ficus exasperata</i> and <i>Telfeira occidentalis</i> on Chloramphenicol - Induced Anaemia in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have found the article interesting and it covers a major health related issue in Africa. I have few comments regarding the article. 1) What was sex of the rat used in this study? Please identify the sex of the rat. 2) Is it possible to prove an of <i>Ficus exasperata</i> and <i>Telfeira occidentalis</i> ? 3) Why did the authors chose these two plants even though a number of medicinal plants are traditionally employed to alleviate anaemia? 4) Most of the references are very old. Is it possible to include few recent studies regarding anaemia treatment and why should we consider <i>Ficus exasperata</i> and <i>Telfeira occidentalis</i> extract treatment?	
Minor REVISION comments	1 st page 2 nd paragraph line 5, which group served as anaemic control and received 1ml dist. H ₂ O?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md Riaj Mahamud
Department, University & Country	The University Of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, USA