



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_34901
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate and phytochemical profile of <i>Melanthera biflora</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Give proper details of GC analysis and extraction procedures of extracting the phytochemicals</li> <li>2. Show a comparison of results from present study and published literature in a separate table and give scientific explanation to the variations in discussion.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Reframe the sentences structure at the start of abstract.</li> <li>2. Reduce the abstract to one half.</li> <li>3. Mention about voucher specimen numbering in sampling</li> <li>4. Mention about method validation i.e how author ensured application of techniques to the sample analysed</li> <li>5. All results must be with <math>\pm</math>standard deviations</li> <li>6. Results must be in same number of significant figures</li> </ol>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	All references are not uniform. Revise as per journal guide lines to authors	
----------------------------------	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Naeem Khan</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Kohat University of Science of Science &amp; Technology, Pakistan</i></b>