

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45253
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF Chlamydia trachomatis IN DONATED BLOOD AT REGIONAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION CENTRE, ELDORE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

ET, KENYA



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is quite interesting and scientific robust. Authors should consider the following corments: Consider modified title: <i>Prevalence and Detection of Chlamydia trachomatis Infection in donated blood at Regional blood transfusion centre, Eldoret, Kenya.</i> Abstract State the company/Country manufactured of the ELISA kit used in parenthesis. Check for grammatical and typographical errors. Why did the authors only detect the organism? Consider adding prevalence of the study and other risk factors of each participant to make the study more robust. Result section is not clear. Consider rewriting. What are the suggestions/recommendations for the contaminated bloods? Keywords: Add Detection, Kenya to keywords. Introduction Repetition of statements in the beginning of your introduction. Locate and expunge where necessary. What are the other species of Chlamydia? Are they STDs causing or not? Line 30-31-ls that a global estimation? Be specific in your write up. Check for grammatical and typographical errors. Line 34-36-Citations needed Materials and Methods Describe the Study Area on a separate heading with a cited reference. Did the authors collected the samples themselves or they used the donated blood samples in the facility? Be specific. Check for grammatical and typographical errors. All abbreviations should be introduced first. Line 56- Use Ten (10) millilitres Add sample processing heading to Sample collection section. Mention name, company and country of kits used. Laboratory analysis need grammar edition. Why bolding some words here? Results Find a more scientific way to present Table 1. Not accepted in that format. Reframe Title of Table 2 to Detection of C. trachomatis infection in donated blood with respect to risk factors at RBTC, Kenya. Calculate the prevalences of the positive C. trachomatis status. Specify their marital status with respected positivity of the organism. NB: From your methodology, you did not tell us you retrieved any information from each donors or administered questionna	
Optional/General comments		

reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oti Baba Victor
Department, University & Country	Nasarawa State University, Nigeria

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her