#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47311
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF CRUDE METHANOL EXTRACT OF Phoenix dactylifera SEEDS ON CLINICAL ISOLATES OF DIFFERENT STRAINS OF E.coli
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Article is well designed. Requested to enrich some of the sentences and Spelling.	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract—Study Design : Phoenix dactylifera should be Italic (Phoenix dactylifera)	
	2. Methodology: (.) Dot should be deleted	
	In the Abstract—Result you mentioned MIC (Please write elaborately first the you can write short form.	
	4. Conclusion: the (The) t should be capital	
	Line 53 you write Equipment: But Test tubes, petri -dishes, pipette, measuring cylinder,	
	flatbottom flask, burnsen burner, cotton wool, , foil, wire loop, masking tape are not	
	Equipment.	
	You may write: Glassware and Equipment/ instruments	
Optional/General comments		

#### PART 2:

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Md Ranzu Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh University of Health Sciences, Bangladesh

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)