

### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47856
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of BLACK SEED (NIGELLA SATIVA) AND UZIZA LEAF (PIPER GUINEENSE) ON ELECTROLYTES, UREA AND C
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## CREATININE OF WISTAR ALBINO RATSAGE



# SDI Review Form 1.6

# PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments       Introduction: Long and generic. It could be more formative.         MATERIALS AND METHODS       You wrote: "The black seed (Nigella sativa) was bought from a local market in Kaduna State, Nigeria, while the uziza leaf (Piper guineense) was obtained from a compound in Choba, Obio-Akpor Local Government area, Rivers State, Nigeria."         Exact location?       Georeference?         When?       When?         Have you any idea about the phenology your plant ?         Have you any idea about the phenology your plant ?         "The plants were identified as Nigella sativa and Piper guineense a staff of the Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Faculty of Sciences University of Port Harcourt,"         who ?       when ?	<b>comment</b> (if agree nat part in the man odback here)
<ul> <li>Sampling criteria ?</li> <li>Have you a Voucher number?</li> <li>"50g of each of the samples; Uziza leaf (Piper guineense) and black seed (N.sativa), was soaked in 500m lof distilled water. After the stock preparation using a syringe, 2ml of the aqueous extract solution was collected and administered to the animals once daily. Also the feed used was formulated thus;</li> <li>Why only water ?</li> <li>Why not a mixture ethanol: water ? or other solvent combination ?</li> <li>In your opinion and considering all pharmacognosy theory, what compounds you expect to extract using "water" ? (Achilles Heel of this work, unfortunately.)</li> <li>The pH your water extract plays any role ? Did you monitored ?</li> <li>And about possible inorganic constitution (metals, salts, anions) They play any role on your extraction.</li> <li>Again, what for constituents would you like to extract ?</li> <li>Who presents the expected pharmacological effects?</li> </ul>	

# reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

## SDI Review Form 1.6



	<ul> <li>If I collect your plants (seed + leaf), for example, 3 or 6 Months later, I will obtain the same results ?</li> <li>Can you extract your interest compounds ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Secondary metabolites are practically insoluble in water.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The temperature was monitored ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>How long you did your extraction ? minutes ? hours ? days ? weeks ?</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Discussion: interesting, but very speculative. Unfortunately, you do not have any idea about the chemical composition you have in your water extract (very probably only polar species ? Can you say anything about that ?). Your observations are correct, but you cannot affirm, who is playing any role in your extract.</li> <li>Without information about the correct chemical composition of your extract turn it very complicated to affirm anything.</li> <li>Conclusion: considerations about no toxic ? toxicological results/experiments for that ? Unfortunately generic and poor.</li> </ul>	
	• Final comments: I see clearly the good intentions of the authors, but unfortunately you know nothing about your extract. This work is very speculative. Animal experiments are really important.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

### <u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the man write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Marcelo Barcellos da Rosa
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6