SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_47307
Title of the Manuscript:	Endovascular treatment for a femoral arteriovenous fistula after removal of a hemodialysis catheter: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 1.In the case presentation section it was mentioned the patient presented with high fever and widespread infection of the leg. Was this cellulitis ,infected ulcer? How was the sepsis worked up? How was she treated. 2. What imaging was done for the diagnosis of AVF for the next admission why did the surgical procedure fail? Was there a second surgical failure? When was the lost guidewire retrieved? How long was the guide wire left within the patient IVC. 3. You have mentioned about high output Cardiac failure. Could discuss briefly the etiopathogenesis of CHF in such scenario. 4. Was an echo and cardiac work up done during the hospital stay. 5.Figure shows significant reduction in swelling post Endovascular intervention. What about the ulcers and/or cellulitis? Was there any residual / ischemic distal changes.? 6.In the discussion section the authors have mentioned that due to widespread infection open surgery was not an option. Can you please elaborate? 7. Page 7 Ln 119 "Endovascular aortic repair". Please elaborate 	
Minor REVISION comments	Multiple spelling and grammatical mistakes. Eg Page 5 Ln 75"the" high risk.;Page 6 Ln 102"recommended".	
Optional/General comments	Although several similar cases have been published in literature the importance of the case report cannot be overstated. Missed iatrogenic injuries can have grave consequences. Could add a few more references.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saptarshi Biswas
Department, University & Country	Forbes Hospital, USA