SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_47384
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Quality Assessment and Antibiogram Profile of Bacteria Associated with Sachet Drinking Water Sold at Zaria, Northern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty</u>', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There are not.	
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 2 and Figure 3 are very similar. Why two? In table 4 may be useful a reduction of columns: all strains are: Gram negative, non-spore forming, non-capsulated bacilli and with mobility. May be better write only one time in legend these words. In tables there are a lot of ugual and repetitive values. Better may be use * in legend, instead of a long series of 10%, 10%, 10% and so on. 0 is 0, why cite 0%? Instead of Figure 1, reported by Prescott, may be better a graphic or a picture made by Author and useful to describe process. There are some corrections to make in the text. Temperature of 25°C, perhaps is to adjust.	
Optional/General comments	Work is interesting and in line with crescent interest in health improvement in these Countries.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Antonietta Toscano
Department, University & Country	University Of Catania, Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)