



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_48875
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiogram Testing of Bacterial Isolates from Cassava, Yam and Plantain Flours and Shelf Life Studies of the Products Sold in Some Markets in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rephrase the last two sentences of the third paragraph of introduction. 2. Use resistant for resistance in the first line of fourth paragraph of introduction. 3. It should be 'Food and Industrial Microbiology Laboratory' instead of 'Food and Laboratory' used in the last line of first paragraph of materials and methods. 4. Rephrase the last sentence of section 2.3. 5. Use was for is in the fifth and eighth line of the fourth paragraph of results. 6. Remove comma and respectively from the second last line of first paragraph of discussion. 7. Italicize S used for <i>Staphylococcus</i> in the second paragraph of discussion. 8. Use was for is in the third line of fourth paragraph of discussion. 9. Salmonella Typhi is a serotype and should not be italicized, manage it in the fifth paragraph of discussion. 10. Use were for are in the ninth line of fifth paragraph of discussion. 11. In the fourth line of sixth paragraph of discussion, it should be 'faeco-oral'. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bacterial will be more appropriate than bacteria in the start of abstract. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Vivek Kumar Singh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Public Health and Infectious Disease Research Center (PHIDReC), Nepal</i>