



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_38913
Title of the Manuscript:	Diseases Of Major Bulbous Vegetable Crops and Their Management
Type of the Article	REVIEW ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper, the authors have described "Diseases of Major Bulbous Vegetable Crops and Their Management". The information provided in the manuscript is not new and is already known information about the diseases and their management. No latest developments in the management of the diseases and in the behavior of the pathogens have been given. The language of the manuscript is poor.</p> <p>However, it is recommended that the manuscript after thorough revision may be considered for publication.</p> <p>Some of the references do not conform to the style of the journal. The abbreviations of the journals are not correct. Double-check all the citations in the text to ensure that they are listed in the references list and vice versa, and for accuracy (correct author names, alphabetical order of references (see the instructions in the Author Information Pack on the journal's website), title of paper, year of publication, and journal volume and page numbers).</p> <p>The standard of English is poor. The manuscript is full of syntactical errors. There is no proper use of verbs, words, articles on numerous occasions. There were many cases in the manuscript where the sentences were not properly structured, suggesting that the paper would improve if it could be edited for language and technical correctness.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tariq Mukhtar
Department, University & Country	Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan