



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_44398
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Different Tillage and Weed Management Practices on Yield and Yield Attributing Characters of Winter Maize (Zea Mays L.) In Chitwan, Nepal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is based on one-season field experiment. Title should be changed. The abstract is appropriate to the study. Sufficient background information is provided in the introduction with defined problem and objectives. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. Results are suitably presented in form of tables and graphs and nicely interpreted. Discussion is scientific with supporting references. Conclusion is sound having routine information. References need revision according to the guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some details about mulching and intercrop need to be given. Uniformity in writing units should be maintained throughout the manuscript. Weed flora observed in the experimental field should be reported. Periodical weed counts, weed dry weight, weed control efficiency and economics should be added, without these parameters, the finding has no value.	
Optional/General comments	Punctuations should be attended properly. Other corrections/suggestions are indicated in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. K. Mathukia</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Junagadh Agricultural University, India</i>