



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46315
Title of the Manuscript:	Long term fertilizer management effect on nutrient dynamics in rainfed rice-lentil system in transect 4 of IndoGangetic plain
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important because it provides information to improve the productivity of rice in dry land.</p> <p>The work objectives are clear and precise The paper is entitled "Long term fertilizer management effect on nutrient dynamics in rainfed rice-lent system in transects 4 of IndoGangetic plain" is there no reference to how long the duration has been or if the evaluations were carried out after a fertilization campaign? The results in Table 1 do not indicate whether the differences in SOC per treatment are statistically significant. There is a lack of discussion of the results presented and the conclusions are poor for the results presented</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The investigation is well carried out, to the paper it is necessary to review some questions as in the materials and methods duration of the test, since the title says of long duration. In the results indicate the significant differences in the SOC values presented and discuss in greater depth the results presented. More weighty conclusions will emerge from further discussion.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Martín María Silva Rossi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Argentina</b>