



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46864
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Garden Egg Genotypes for Proline Accumulation in different Growth Conditions of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important because it provides information to improve the productivity of Solanum aethiopicum in drought conditions</p> <p>The objective of work is clear and precise. The materials and methods are very well developed in a very clear and complete way as well as the experimental design. In the description of the results of table 2, both in conditions of drought and humidity, since the interaction effect Genotype x location is significant, the individual effect of the location is not important, as the genotype effect is not significant, Therefore, it should not be mentioned, just highlight the interaction that is much more important.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The research is very well planned and the paper reflects it correctly, it contributes a great amount of information. In order to improve the scientific quality, the interpretation of the results of the statistical analyzes should be revised, in them the effects of interaction are of greater importance than the individual effects, therefore when they are significant the individual effects should not be considered. In the discussion and conclusions, more emphasis and strength should be placed on highlighting and describing these interaction effects and as for each location or location, the selection of genotypes is different, due to this interaction effect.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martín María Silva Rossi
Department, University & Country	Argentina