



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_47002
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOLOGICAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE SOIL WITH INTERCALED CROPS AND OIL PALM (<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.), AS SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT IN THE AMAZON
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>An interesting empirical research paper, ideas well articulated, methodology well understood and findings very scientific and technical. The paper is almost ripe for publication. However the following corrections should be integrated:</p> <p>First and foremost, the title should be coined in a more appropriate way. It should be captivating.</p> <p>Secondly, the results of the study should be sub-divided into different sub-sections following the objectives of the study. This will ease comprehension and give it better coherence.</p> <p>Thirdly, the most recent works that fall in line with the subject matter of the paper should be sought for and cited. This will give the paper more relevance in today's context. The citing of papers dating to as far back as the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s and early 2000s should be done sparingly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	A good original research paper that is almost ripe for publication. However, the aforementioned comments should be taken into consideration before the paper is considered for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nyong Princely Awazi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Dschang, Cameroon</i>