



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_46048
Title of the Manuscript:	An Open Label, Multi-centric Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of Sodium Feredetate (Fedate syrup) within 21 days in Patients with Iron Deficiency Anemia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscripts describes the treatment for 21 days of 40 female subjects of Ivory Coast with severe anemia. It shows that the treatment improved the hemoglobin level of more than 1 g/dL in 80% of the subjects. It is a simple work the presentation of which should be improved.</p> <p>- Why the patients were given 10 or 15 ml? Is there any rationale for the difference? That corresponds to what mg/kg/die? Do the authors have any data on the compliance, that the subjects took the drug twice a day? When during the day? How Hb level was measured?</p> <p>- There are no comments on the non-respondent subjects. Did they comply, did they have particular pathologies of adverse effects?</p> <p>- There are too many figures for such a simple work. The data of fig 1 and 2 can be presented in a table. Fig 5 and 6 can be in a single two-panel figure, which indicates the non-responding subjects. Fig 3 is non necessary, The data of tolerable-adverse effects should be presented in a single table.</p> <p>- The reason to choose Feredetate over the most common ferrograd should be in introduction</p> <p>- The open-label multi-centric in the title is not necessary. Moe important is the Ivory Coast.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



SDI Review Form 1.6

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
--	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paolo Arosio
Department, University & Country	University of Brescia, Italy