



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_46466
Title of the Manuscript:	Protective effect of Anacardium occidentale on the liver enzymes of paracetamol induced toxicity in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author investigated the protective effect of ethanolic leaf extract of cashew (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i>) and the whole manuscript has written well. But, the discussion part have to be elaborate more and may be included the following references to strengthen their conclusion. 1. Senthilkumar et al., 2002, APJCN and 2. Senthilkumar et al., 2003, JNB	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Senthilkumar Rajagopal
Department, University & Country	Rayalaseema University, India