

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Cardiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRC_46470
Title of the Manuscript:	R46K /R125K mutation of PKP2 instigates H9C2 cell hypertrophy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 This paper reports that the effect of R46K /R125K mutation of PKP2 on H9C2 cell hypertrophy. Although the main idea of the study shows some interest, there are many critical points to be considered: 1. The sequence analysis of plasmids of R46K /R125K mutation of PKP2 should be completed. 2. In Figure 2, the cell look alike primary adult cardiomyocytes, no H9C2 cells. 3. About hypertrophy,other index should be observed, such as the expression of β-myosin heavy chain(β-MHC). 	
Minor REVISION comments	The method of Immunofluorescence staining should be added.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bimei Jiang
Department, University & Country	Central South University, China

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write