



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_46156
Title of the Manuscript:	SATISFACTION WITH WAITING TIME; THE EXPERIENCE OF ANTENATAL MOTHERS IN SOUTH EAST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The tables should be well organised. 2. Proof reading should be done to correct grammatical errors and typos 3. Why do you bold the abstract? 4. Your sample size in table 3 in under age categories is 313 and not 500, why? Also in table 3, the age range 15 – 24 was 2 in total and suddenly in table 3, you recorded 68 yes and 52 no, Explain. This might affect your test for significant. 5. In table 1, if there is no record for no formal education, then it should be taken off. 6. What is the main reason for the comparison study? Have you compared the staff strength of the hospitals? OPD attendance? In the conclusion, what do the authors achieve in this comparison? What reason (s) might account for the differences if they are any? 7. More discussing should be done. 8. The authors' conclusion did not adequately address the objective of the study and should be looked at again. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There have not been any mention of ethical approval for the study, or at least seek approval from the hospitals involved. 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymond Saa-Eru Maalman
Department, University & Country	University of health and allied sciences, Ghana.