



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_46949
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmodium falciparum Sporozoite Rates in Anopheles gambiae s.l. at a University Teaching Hospital and Contiguous Village, Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Your methodology is incomplete (what method did you use to capture mosquitoes?, How did you determine the status of female mosquitoes regarding malaria infection?)2) How many mosquitoes were captured?3) The conclusion of your study is lacking in the abstract. Please, add a conclusion4) Reduce the number of Keywords! Certain of them are not consistent with the title of manuscript <p>Introduction Lines 42-46: Add bibliographic references Your introduction deeply lacks bibliographic references</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Lines 71-72: Specify how did you do to distinguish between unfed, gravid, half-gravid and fed mosquitoes.2) Your methodology is incomplete: How many days your collection of mosquitoes lasted? Because I think the sample size of captured mosquitoes is small enough as compared with study of the same type. <p>Results Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Lines 118-139: You have to link this paragraph to your findings on sporozoite rates2) Lines 130-136: It is not clear how this paragraph is related to your proper findings. In other words, I don't understand what you want explain or demonstrate3) Lines 130-136: It is not clear how this paragraph is related to your proper findings. In other words, I don't understand what you want explain or demonstrate4) Lines 137-150: It is not clear how this paragraph is related to your proper findings. In other words, I don't understand what you want explain or demonstrate5) Lines 151-170: It is not clear how this paragraph is related to your proper findings. In other words, I don't understand what you want explain or demonstrate <p>Conclusion Add a conclusion within this work</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Other remarks: 1) Sections named “Acknowledgments”, “Conflict of interest”, “Authors’ contribution” are missing. Please add them 2) You have to specify the limitations of your study 3) Be careful with your references as some of them are not properly presented as per guidelines of the journal. Please go through and deal with it 4) Reference N°1 is too old. Replace it by a more recent reference as the World Malaria Report of 2018	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction Lines 35-36: Add bibliographic references Line 37: Add bibliographic references Materials and Methods Results Discussion Conclusion	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamgain Mawabo Lugarde
Department, University & Country	Laboratoire Sainte Therese, Cameroon