



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49599
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception and Management of Fever in Children, by Mothers in Port Harcourt Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I read this manuscript and I think it could be an acceptable text if certain aspects are clarified and corrected.</p> <p>The subject is interesting.</p> <p>In any case, I congratulate the authors for their effort.</p> <p>I suggest that, please, the authors verify the following comments:</p> <p>-Sample and sample size</p> <p>An opportunistic sample can be acceptable if is clearly stated and the limitations of data are taken into account. When convenience sampling is used, it is necessary to describe how the sample of the current investigation would be different from the ideal sample, selected randomly (from the entire population). It is also necessary to describe individuals who might be left out during the selection process or individuals who are over-represented in the sample.</p> <p>It seems that the sample size is calculated for the prevalence. The authors could provide all the statistical parameters of their samples.</p> <p>-Questionnaire:</p> <p>What was the reliability and validity of this questionnaire?</p> <p>-References:</p> <p>Review, please, the rules of the Journal.</p> <p>The abbreviations of journals should conform to those of the US National Library of Medicine for Medline / PubMed (available in: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals</a>)</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jose L. Turabian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Spain</b>