



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_46690
Title of the Manuscript:	Autism Spectrum Disorder in North-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is an interesting study with also well-designed and written manuscript. However, paper needs some revisions.</p> <p>Abstract UDUTH - A term to be abbreviated must, on its first appearance, be written out completely and followed immediately by its abbreviation in parentheses.</p> <p>Introduction The authors should include aim of study in the end of the state of the art.</p> <p>Subjects and Methods Line 4: review the grammar The methodology part needs to be improved. It would be good to add some information about the number of participants in the methodological part. Authors should add sample data description. Describe the sample adequately.</p> <p>Conclusions It would be good to add some information about "appropriate interventions". What interventions do they suggest?</p>	
Optional/General comments	The submitted paper is suited for publication in the journal but some revisions need to be incorporated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carla Susana Vicente
Department, University & Country	Portugal