



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_47100
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Malignant Melanoma of brainstem medulla mimicking as cavernoma – Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a well written case report. There are few remarks to be made. Was the patient followed up after discharge? Was written consent signed by the patient?	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction: "Primary malignant melanoma of central nervous system is very rare and accounts for 1% of all cases (...)". Acronyms (CT, MRI, SOL, CNS, etc.) need to be explained.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Gustavo Stelzer
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre, Brazil