



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_46459
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL PROFILE AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF NEPHROBLASTOMA IN CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A. Style of writing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Punctuation marks need to be corrected in abstract and abstract. 2. Typographic, spelling and grammatical errors need to be corrected. 3. Citation needs to be in superscript. 4. References should be in Vancouver style. 5. Replace 'histology' with 'histopathology'. 6. Language needs to be technically correct. Eg Use 'Most common cancer / tumor' instead of 'First kidney cancer'. 7. Maintain uniformity in abstract and text. Mention Wilms tumor in title and abstract also. Use either kidney or renal cancers in abstract and text. 8. Key words should include Wilms tumor also. <p>B. Content:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Epidemiology should mention incidence worldwide followed by in different continents and lastly ethnic groups. 2. Poland is incorrectly mentioned to be in the UK in introduction. 3. Mention type of other kidney cancers in table 1. 4. Discuss risk factors for Wilms tumor / nephroblastoma - environmental and genetic 5. Elaborate histopathology – Mention whether biopsy cases were followed by nephrectomy samples. 6. In biopsy samples what other ancillary studies were carried out for definitive diagnosis eg IHC 7. Staging – Impact of stage in prognosis and 5 year survival. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Shailja Puri</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>