

### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Type of the Article	Original Research Paper
	First report in Sudan: The pathology of pulmonary papillary carcinoma in lungs of dromedary camels affected with pneu
Title of the Manuscript:	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_46480
Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The title and the idea of the research is very interesting and innovative but:</li> <li>The aim and benefit were not clear as the author did not specify the importance and seriousness of the infection of the cancer tissues with bacteria.</li> <li>In the introduction: it was not attractive in writing, where, I hope that the author wrote about the incidence of lung cancer in camels and how it is dangerous to the animal life, especially, and on livestock in general ? What are the types of other cancers that may affect camels and then what bacteria that Infect the cancer tissues?</li> <li>In the methods: the author did not specify the precautions taken to collect the samples in order to avoid the injury of the tissue under study with external bacterial infection, which could affect the results of the research?</li> <li>In the discussion: the lack of clarity of the research idea led to the fact that the discussion was not objective, did not add new reasons for the occurrence of the disease or the seriousness to the search filed for livestock and animal health.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

eumonia

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





# SDI Review Form 1.6

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nora N.Esmaiel
Department, University & Country	Egypt