

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_47345
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATE THE ADC VALUES IN PROBABLY BENIGN AND SUSPICIOUS MALIGNANT BREAST LESIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 In abstract section: we should start with background about the disease in result section: the first paragraph was written incorrectly (findings reveals that the majority of the lesions 58.7% with ADC value≤1.03 x10-3 mm2/s were for (P <.005) it should be (findings reveals that the majority of the lesions were 58.7% with ADC value ≤1.03 x10-3 mm2/s (P <.005) 	
Minor REVISION comments Optional/General comments	 Many incorrect English phrases and Grammar were observed throughout the paper. Replace "carcinoma breast" with "breast carcinoma" 	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Heba Gamal Abd El-Aziz Nasr
Department, University & Country	AI-Azhar University, Egypt

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her