



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_46199
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATIONS OF RED CABBAGE (BRASSICA OLERACEA L. VAR. CAPITATA F. RUBRA DC.) EXTRACT BEHAVIOR UNDER HIGH DOSE GAMMA IRRADIATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The reviewer would like to know how the author(s) confirmed the extract only contained cyanidin.</p> <p>The extract should contain saccharide. So the third paragraph in §3.1 may be rewritten.</p> <p>Please confirm the dose rate in Fig. 2 caption.</p> <p>Is 113 and 114. Please confirm the A_0 and $A_{d,r}$, absorption or absorbance?</p> <p>If Fig. 2 is correct, please revise Fig. 3, Table 2 and corresponding discussion because the plots do not correspond with the equation 1. Please confirm the dose rate value in Fig. 3 caption.</p> <p>Is 122-124. Duplication. Please remove the sentences.</p> <p>Please add the dose rate of 0.425 kGy/h to Fig. 4 caption.</p> <p>Please confirm the left axis labels in Fig. 4.</p> <p>If Fig. 2 is correct, please confirm the legends in Fig. 4. Table 3, Figures 4, 5 and 6, and corresponding discussion may be revised.</p> <p>Please confirm the dose rate value in abstract and conclusions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Is. 98-99. The sentence "The increase of ... effect of irradiation." does not make sense. Please rewrite it.</p> <p>Is. 107 and 132. "Evaluation the relation..." Please rewrite it.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nobuaki Tanaka
Department, University & Country	Shinshu University, Japan