

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_46437
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical, spectroscopic characteristics and level of some selected metals in canola oil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 <i>1- Abstract</i> The abstract must be reformulated, it is not worth giving the results of characteristics with their errors. The abstract must be in the form of a paragraph. In the abstract, we do not quote the analyzes carried out to determine the composition but just the final results. <i>2- Introduction</i> In this part, the author must give the different techniques of extraction of the canola oil with the yield of each technique. The authors must to develop paragraphs and not undeveloped ideas. In the last part of the introduction, the authors must write the objective of this study and especially the novelty brought in comparison with other articles published in this way. 3- Materials and methods Since in this part, the authors quote the analyzes carried out so we must write material and methods. The authors must write the purities of the reagents used in this study and also if possible the supplier. In the density part we use an apparatus for that, otherwise how you have the mass m of the oil. 4- Results and discussion The table 5 must cited in the text. In the results of the characteristics of the oil, the authors must add in each table the results found by other studies for the same oil to make a comparison between the two samples. 5 - Conclusion In the conclusion, the author gives definitions of each index of the oil whereas in this part they must just quoted the most important results of the study as well as perspectives. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reda EL Kacmi
Department, University & Country	University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Morocco

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write