



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_46775
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL OF Pelargonium citrosum AND Rosmarinus officinalis ESSENTIAL OILS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The goal of this work was to investigate the antibacterial and antifungal potential of the essential oils extracted from <i>R. officinalis</i> and <i>P. citrosum</i> against Gram-positive bacteria (<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>) and Gram-negative bacteria (<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i>) and fungus (<i>Candida albicans</i>). Scientifically robust and technically sound work, important results were obtained but with insufficient description of important the experimental part, especially part Antimicrobial assay . The definition of the concentration of used EO should be described as well more exactly. For more details see yellowed notes directly in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	I have no additional minor comments.	
Optional/General comments	Simply and classically well written manuscript has an objective discrepancy – see above. I recommend the manuscript in this form for publication but only after small but important revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miloslav Milichovsky
Department, University & Country	University of Pardubice, Czech Republic