SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_47081
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantification of Amaroswerin and Amarogentin in different parts of Swertia chirayita chromatographic analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Don't mention references in abstract. (E.g. For extraction of plant materials polar to non-polar solvents were used) Methodology is unclear. In section 2.2. and 2.4 methods are overlapping, both are extraction, purification and quantification methodology. If so why repeated two times? Is it one is for standard (what is the standard?), another for sample? Confusing In results part, give details about TLC results too, and discussion with pictures. Give plant authentication number in methodology. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Saravana kumari
Department, University & Country	RVS College of Arts & Science, India

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her