



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_47976
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic potential of the stem barks aqueous extract of <i>Albizia ferruginea</i> (Guill. & Perr.) Benth. (Mimosaceae) in rats and mice
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In your abstract, it is worthwhile to mention phytochemical analysis and its results since you have done much work on it both qualitative and quantitative.</li> <li>2. Phytochemical and ethnopharmacological should be added in your Keyword</li> <li>3. In your introduction, you only touched good introductory views on anti-inflammatory but none on anti-pyretic and analgesics...kindly add</li> <li>4. In your methodology, administering of the solid extract is not clear..and sometimes you are using or..eg 122. Explain too how you were administering the solid extract..whether you were dissolving first in distilled water or not...be clear</li> <li>5. Your reference no.10 is incorrect as per method for phytochemical analysis is concerned..kindly revise and review your references.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Follow the journal method for writing your references and where to put coma, fulstop. etc..</li> <li>2. it is also worthwhile to give a recommendation for the study eg.cytotoxicity analysis,etc.</li> <li>3. Review method for writing your abstract as per the journal recommendations.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	N/A	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthony O Ochieng</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sumait University, Tanzania</b>