

Original Research Article

Insect Pest Diversity and Damage Assessment In Field Grown Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench) In The Coastal Savannah Agro-Ecological Zone Of Ghana.

Comment [U1]: esculentus

ABSTRACT

Aims: The specific objectives of this study were: to identify the diversity of insect species associated with the ten okra cultivars, and to assess the abundance of the insect species and the extent of leaf damage during vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages of the ten okra cultivars. under field conditions.

Study design: The experimental treatments were deployed in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD), replicated four times.

Place and Duration of Study: The research was conducted at Nuclear Agriculture Research Center (NARC) farms and the laboratories of Radiation Entomology and Pest Management Center (REPMC) of Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research Institute (BNARI), between July 2017 and March 2018. The study area is located at Kwabenya, Accra on latitude 5° 40' N, longitude 0° 13' W with Ochrosol (Ferric Acrisol) soil type, derived from quartzite Schist.

Methodology: Plant materials used for the study consisted of five local and five exotic okra cultivars. The local cultivars were Asutem (AS), Togo (TG), Labadi dwarf (LD), Kwab (K1) and Adom (AD). These were obtained from the market (Asamankese and Dome) and okra farmers' fields. The exotic cultivars were Lucky 19F1 (LF1), F1 Kirene (F1K), F1 Sahari (FIS), Kirikou F1 (KF1) and Clemson Spineless (CS). These cultivars were obtained from a commercial seed shop, Technisem, Accra. Land preparation of the research site involved ploughing and harrowing. The prepared land was lined and pegged into 40 plots using a Randomized Complete Block Design with four replications. Each replicate measured 35m x7m and separated by 2m from each other with 10 subplots within a block. Each subplot measured 3m x 3m and spaced from one another by 1m. Total size of the experimental area was 646m². The okra seeds were manually sown to a depth of 2cm directly at a spacing of 0.50m x 0.60m between and within rows. Four seeds per hill were sown and later thinned to one seedling per hill after emergence. Field management practices such as weed control and watering were done as and when required. Data on insects were collected from five okra plants randomly selected from the middle rows. Okra leaves were carefully examined by observing both the abaxial and adaxial surfaces. Insects found on the surfaces of the leaves were identified, counted manually and recorded as either major or minor based on their incidence pattern. Data was taken daily because the ten cultivars have different vegetative, flowering and fruiting dates. Insects were counted between the hours of 6.00 am and 8.00 am when they are inactive and cannot fly. In order to determine

Comment [U2]: plowing

Comment [U3]: the total

the extent of leaf damage, the following described scoring scale was designed for this work. Leaf damage was determined by counting the total number of perforations created by the insects in all leaves found on the five randomly selected test plants. This was then divided by the total number of leaves on the five selected test plants to obtain the average number of perforations per leaf. Leaves were visually assessed and scored for severity of damage using a damage rating where; 1 very mild damage (1 to 15 perforations); 2 mild damage (16 to 30 perforations); 3 moderately severe damage (31 to 45 perforations); 4 very severe damage (46 to 60 perforations); 5 extremely severe damage (more than 60 perforations).

Results: A total of thirteen insect pest belonging to six orders (Coleoptera, Homoptera, Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera, Orthoptera and Mantodea), and thirteen families Chrysomelidae, Coccinellidae, Pyrgomorphidae, Meloidae, Noctuidae, Nolidae, Cicadellidae, Aleyrodidae, Aphididae, Pseudococcidae, Mantidae, Formicidae, and Acrididae) were found to be abundant on the field. Among these, the highest number of insect species belonged to Homoptera group namely: Green Peach Aphid (*Myzus persicae*) Okro leafhopper (*Ammosca biguttula*), Whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), and striped mealy bug (*Ferrisia virgata*) followed by Coleoptera (Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) and Lady bird beetle (*Cheilomenes lunata*). On the vegetative stage of the okro, Flea beetle had the highest number on Lucky 19F1 (36.00±9.66 insects/plant). During the flowering stage, Plants of L-19F1 had the highest mean number of Flea beetles (32.25±10.30 insects/plant). On the fruiting stage, Plants of LD had the highest mean abundance of flea beetles (47.50±13.53 per plant).

Conclusion: A total of 1,439 insects were recorded at the fruiting stage which was significantly higher than the flowering (855) and vegetative stages (693). Mean Whitefly counts was relatively low at the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages of the cultivars. However, Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) and Green Peach aphids (*Myzus persicae*) mean numbers increased progressively throughout all the stages. In the present study, severity of leaf damage was significantly higher at the fruiting stage compared with the flowering and vegetative stages. Plants of cultivars LD and AS were the most promising recording the least leaf damage (111.95) and (119.10) respectively.

Comment [U4]: Okra

Comment [U5]: mealybug

Comment [U6]: ladybird

Comment [U7]: okra

Comment [U8]: were

Comment [U9]: the severity

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Keywords: Okra, Abundance, Severity, numbers, stages, Accessions

1. INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) is an annual cultivated mainly for the fresh fruits that are consumed immature as a vegetable in a variety of ways. The crop also serves important medicinal and industrial purposes. Besides, the cultivation, processing and marketing of okra present opportunities for income generation among rural small-holder farmers. All over the growing regions of the tropics and subtropics, production of the crop faces serious challenges of insect pest infestation and viral diseases responsible for considerable yield loss. Consequently, in West Africa in particular, the average yield has been very low at an estimated 2.5 t/ha [1].

30 In Ghana, low yields in okra are attributed to several production constraints among
31 which low soil fertility and damage caused by insect pests are most critical [2].
32 Damage caused by insect pests has been reported as the major constraint [3;4].
33 Tindal [5] reported several insect species infesting okra in Ghana. These include
34 *Sylepta derogata* (F.), *Dysdercus supersticiosus* (F), *Aphis gossypii* (Glov.) and
35 *Podagrica uniformis* (Jac.). Critchley [4] reported of 22 insect pests from 12 families
36 in four orders (Coleoptera, Hemiptera, Lepidoptera and Orthoptera) infesting okra in
37 Brong-Ahafo region of Ghana. Of these, the most important are the flea beetles,
38 *Podagrica uniformis* Jacoby and *P. sjostedti* Jacoby, followed by aphids, *Aphis*
39 *gossypii* Glover, cotton stainers, *Dysdercus supersticiosus* (Fab) and Lepidopteran
40 caterpillars, *Sylepta derogata* (Fab.) and *Heliothis armigera* (Hub.). The blister
41 beetle, *Mylabris* spp., feeds on the flowers, reducing the number of fruits formed,
42 while both adults and nymphs of *A. gossypii* suck sap from young leaves and buds,
43 thus reducing the efficiency of the leaves [6; 7].
44

Comment [U10]: delete are change with is

45 Two (2) flea beetle species, *Podagrica uniformis* and *Podagrica sjostedti* are
46 responsible for heavy leaf damage of the crop [8]. Extensive leaf damage in the form
47 of feeding holes on the leaves result in significant reduction of photosynthetic ability
48 of the plant. The insect pests also feed on fruits, stems and flowers culminating in
49 poor crop performance and low yields. The *Podagrica* species have also been
50 implicated in the transmission of okra mosaic virus [9;10]. The other insect pests of
51 economic importance in okra production, whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*) feed on plant
52 sap and cause the okra leaf curl and yellow vein mosaic diseases [9;11]. The
53 species diversity of insects and their pest status varies from region to region with the
54 variation in agro climatic conditions. Asare-Bediako *et al.* [12] indicated that there is
55 always a phenomenon of continual significant increase in insect populations globally.
56 In Ghana, for instance, rising insect pest populations has been attributed to poor
57 agronomic practices such as the use of untreated seeds for cultivation and the
58 continuous practice of mono-cropping by majority of local farmers in order to meet
59 the increasing demand of the various staples in the country [12].
60

Comment [U11]: results

Comment [U12]: a significant

Comment [U13]: the photosynthetic

Comment [U14]: stems,

Comment [U15]: agro-climatic

Comment [U16]: the continual

Comment [U17]: have

Comment [U18]: the majority

61 As a prerequisite for putting in place an effective integrated insect pest management
62 regime, it is necessary to properly identify which species of insects are major pests,
63 establish their diversity, abundance, and severity of damage they cause to plant
64 parts. It is, therefore, worrying that information on insect pests of okra at its various
65 growth stages in the coastal savannah agro-ecological zone of Ghana is lacking.
66 Thus a specific objective was set out to identify the diversity of insect species
67 associated with ten cultivars of okra, to assess the abundance of the insect species
68 during the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages and the extent of leaf damage
69 under field conditions. Such information will help farmers to know which insect pests
70 to target, the best time to target control practices and the appropriate approach to
71 use. In addition, the findings of this work will serve as a useful guide in the
72 development of an effective pest management system for okra production,
73 particularly within the coastal savannah agro-ecological zone.
74

75 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

76

77 **2.1 Soil and Rainfall Pattern of Study Area**

78 The research was conducted in Biotechnology and Nuclear Agriculture Research
79 Institute (BNARI) of the Ghana Atomic Energy Commission (GAEC) between July
80 2017 and March 2018. The study area is located at Kwabenya, Accra on latitude 5°
81 40' N, longitude 0° 13' W with Ochrosol (Ferric Acrisol) soil type, derived from
82 quartzite Schist [13]. The maximum and minimum average temperatures for the
83 period of study were 30.7°C and 26.0°C respectively with average annual rainfall of
84 220mm. The highest and lowest relative humidity is between 75 and 60% [14;15].
85 The experimental site is also well drained and has an elevation of 76 m above sea
86 level within the coastal savannah agro-ecological zone.

Comment [U19]: an average

88 **2.2 Plant materials and field design**

89 Plant materials used for the study consisted of five local and five exotic okra
90 cultivars. The local cultivars were Asutem (AS), Togo (TG), Labadi dwarf (LD),
91 Kwabenya (K1) and Adom (AD). These were obtained from Markets (Asamankese
92 and Dome) and okra farmers' fields. The exotic cultivars were Lucky 19F1 (LF1), F1
93 Kirene (FIK), FI Sahari (FIS), Kirikou F1 (KFI) and Clemson Spineless (CS). These
94 cultivars were obtained from a commercial seed shop, Technisem, Accra. The land
95 was ploughed, harrowed and lined and pegged into 40 plots using a Randomized
96 Complete Block Design with four replications. Each replicate measured 35m x 7m
97 and separated by 2m from each other with 10 subplots within a block. Each subplot
98 measured 3m x 3m and spaced from one another by 1m. Total size of the
99 experimental area was 646m². The okra seeds were manually sown to a depth of
100 2cm directly at a spacing of 0.50m x 0.60m between and within rows. Four seeds per
101 hill were sown and later thinned to one seedling per hill after emergence. Field
102 management practices such as weed control and watering were done as and when
103 required.

Comment [U20]: plowed, harrowed and lined

Comment [U21]: replicates

Comment [U22]: the total

105 **2.3 Data collection**

106 **2.3.1 Insect abundance**

107 Insect abundance was estimated from five okra plants randomly selected from the
108 middle rows. Okra leaves were carefully examined by observing both the abaxial and
109 adaxial surfaces. Insects found on the surfaces of the leaves were identified,
110 counted and recorded as either major or minor based on their incidence pattern.
111 Data was taken daily between the hours of 6.00 am and 8.00 am.

113 **2.3.2 Determination of leaf damage**

114 In order to determine the extent of leaf damage, a scoring scale was designed for
115 this work. Leaf damage was determined by estimating the total number of
116 perforations created by the insects in all leaves found on each of the five randomly
117 selected test plants. This was then divided by the total number of leaves on the plant

118 to obtain the average number of perforations per leaf. The five-point scoring scale is
119 as follows: 1 very mild damage (1 to 15 perforations); 2 mild damage (16 to 30
120 perforations); 3 moderately severe damage (31 to 45 perforations); 4 very severe
121 damage (46 to 60 perforations); 5 extremely severe damage (more than 60
122 perforations) (Figure 1).



133 *Figure 1: Rating of severity of leaf damage*

Comment [U23]: the severity

134 2.3.3 Data analysis

136 The quantitative data on abundance of insects on the ten okra cultivars at vegetative,
137 flowering and fruiting stages were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) in
138 order to determine the level of significance among the ten okra cultivars for leaf
139 damage severity. Duncan's multiple range test was used to determine differences
140 among the means. Statsgraphics Centurion Software (version 16.1) and Microsoft
141 Excel Software (2010 edition) were used for the data analyses and a p-value of 0.05
142 or less was considered as significant.

Comment [U24]: the abundance

Comment [U25]: delete

143 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

144 3.1 Diversity of insect species associated with okra under field conditions.

145
146
147
148
149 Insects present on the ten cultivars of okra under open field conditions observed
150 during the growth stages of the crop are shown in figure 2.




Fig. 2. Some insect species identified in the studied okra cultivars; A = Variegated grasshopper, B = Ants, C = Cotton stainer, D = Lady bird beetle, E = Flea beetle, F = Aphid.

Results of the study revealed that all the ten cultivars of okra were susceptible to insect pest infestation (Table 1). A total of thirteen insect species belonging to Six orders (Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Homoptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Mantodea and Orthoptera), and twelve families namely Chrysomelidae, Coccinellidae, Pyrgomorphidae, Meloidae, Noctuidae, Nolidae, Cicadellidae, Aleyrodidae, Aphididae, Pseudococcidae, Mantidae and Formicidae) were recorded at the study site. The highest number of insect species belonged to the order Homoptera and included Okro leafhopper (*Amrasca biguttula*), Whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), and Green Peach aphid (*Myzus persicae*). Those found in order Coleoptera were Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.), Blister beetle (*Mylabris pustulata*) and Lady bird beetle (*Cheilomenes lunata*). The Striped mealy bug (*Ferrisia virgata*) and the Black carpenter ants (*Camponotus* sp) were the only insects found in the order Himiptera and Hymenoptera respectively. Members of the order Orthoptera identified in this study included the Variegated grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegatus*) and Tobacco Grasshopper (*Atractomorpha crenulata*). The order Mantodea had Praying mantis (*Mantis religiosa*) while Lepidoptera included Cotton Semi looper (*Anomis flava*) and Transverse Moth (*Xanthodes transversa*). The Flea beetle and Aphids were the most abundant insect pests. The insect species were grouped into the major and minor following [12] (Table 1).

Comment [U26]: delete

Comment [U27]: okra

Comment [U28]: ladybird

Comment [U29]: in the order hemiptera

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1. Insect species associated with the ten cultivars of okra under field conditions.

FAMILY	COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	PLANT PART INFESTED	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE	PEST STATUS
Chrysomelidae	Flea Beetle	<i>Podagrica</i> sp.	Leaf	11.97	Major
Aleyrodidae	Whitefly	<i>Bemisia tabacci</i> (Gennadius)	Leaf	6.1	Minor
Aphididae	Green Peach Aphids	<i>Myzus persicae</i> (Sulzer)	Leaf, flower buds, flowers	66.46	Major
Formicidae	Black Carpenter Ants	<i>Camponotus</i> sp.	None	8.51	None
Lycosidae	Spider	<i>Hogna lenta</i> (Hentz)	None	0.21	None
Coccinellidae	Lady Bird Beetle	<i>Cheilomenes lunata</i> (Fabricius)	None	0.54	None
Cicadellidae	Okro Leaf Hopper	<i>Amrasca biguttula biguttula</i> (Ishida)	Leaf	5.12	Minor
Mantidae	Praying Mantid	<i>Mantis religiosa</i> (Burmeister)	None	0.04	None
Pseudococcidae	Striped Mealy Bugs	<i>Ferrisia virgata</i> (Cockerell)	Leaf, fruit	0.94	Minor
Pyrgomorphidae	Variiegated Grasshopper	<i>Zonocerus variegatus</i> (Linnaeus)	Leaf	0.02	Minor
	Tobacco Grass Hopper	<i>Atractomorpha crenulata</i> (Fabricius)	Leaf	0.04	Minor
Meloidae	Blister Beetle	<i>Mylabris pustulata</i> (Thunberg)	Flower buds and flowers	0.04	Minor
Noctuidae	Cotton Semi looper	<i>Anomis flava</i> (Fabricius)	Leaf	0.004	Minor
Nolidae	Transverse Moth	<i>Xanthodes transversa</i> (Guenee)	Leaf	0.002	Minor

Comment [U30]: okra

Although all the insects identified can attack the crop, the level of damage and their abundance varied among the cultivars. Based on the level of damage and their abundance, Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) and whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) were observed as major insect pests of the okra. These findings are consistent with reports of Obeng-Ofori and Sackey [8] and Asare bediako *et al.* [12]. It was observed that the low numbers of Lady bird beetle (*Cheilomenes lunata*) were responsible for the increase in Whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) population. The Lady bird beetle (*C. lunata*) have been used as natural enemies to control whitefly population in many plants [16;17;18;19;20]

Comment [U31]: ladybird

3.2 Mean abundance of insect species at the vegetative stage.

The average incidence of flea beetle, white fly, ants, aphids, spider, lady bird beetle, okro leafhopper, praying mantis, mealy bug and variegated grasshopper at the vegetative stage differed significantly ($P=0.05$) among all okra cultivars. Flea beetle had the highest number on Lucky 19F1 (36.00±9.66 insects/plant) followed by K-F1, LD, AS, CS, AD, TG, F1-S, F1-K and K1 with abundance level of 32.75±22.88, 28.25±8.10, 23.00±19.06, 19.00±16.63, 18.75±5.12, 17.50±10.66, 16.50±4.20, 11.00±4.97 and 9.00±2.83 respectively. With respect to whitefly, F1-S had the highest number of insects (6.25±2.18) per plant, while LD had the least (1.25±0.50). Cultivar TG recorded the highest mean number of ants (43.25±23.94) and praying mantis (0.70±1.00) per plant whereas cultivar AS and F1-S had the minimum infestation of ants (3.25±3.10) and praying mantis (0.24±0.10). Similarly, CS had the highest mean number of spiders and okro leafhopper of 0.75±0.50 and 0.75±1.50 per plant respectively whilst cultivar F1-S had the least infestation of spider (0.20±0.11) and okro leafhopper (0.25±0.10). Cultivar LD had the highest mean number of mealy bugs (1.75±0.26) and grasshopper (1.75±0.40) whereas cultivar L-19F1 and K-F1 had the least mean numbers of mealy bugs (0.25±0.10) and grasshopper (0.20±0.10) per plant. Cultivars K-F1 and LD had the highest mean abundance of aphids and lady bird (27.00±12.76 and 1.50±1.00 respectively) while the least was recorded on TG (14.60±9.20) and F1-K (0.18±0.10) [Table2]

Comment [U32]: whitefly

Comment [U33]: ladybird

Comment [U34]: okra

Comment [U35]: okra

Comment [U36]: okra

Comment [U37]: mealybugs

Comment [U38]: ladybird

Comment [U39]: respectively

1 **Table 2. Mean abundance of insect species of the ten okra cultivars at the vegetative stage.**

Accessions	Flea beetle	Whitefly	Ants	Aphids	Ladybird beetle	Okro leafhopper	Playing Mantis	Mealybug	Grass Hopper
AD	18.75±5.12 ^{ab}	2.00±1.15 ^a	7.00±4.54 ^b	25.65±7.10 ^a	0.25±0.10 ^{ab}	1.00±0.41 ^a	0.25±0.10 ^a	1.00±0.41 ^{ab}	1.50±0.20 ^{ab}
AS	23.00±19.06 ^{ab}	5.75±3.94 ^a	3.25±3.10 ^b	25.05±17.53 ^a	0.20±0.10 ^b	0.57±0.50 ^a	0.50±0.20 ^a	1.50±0.33 ^{ab}	0.25±0.10 ^{ab}
CS	19.00±16.63 ^{ab}	1.75±0.21 ^a	20.75±17.42 ^{ab}	22.30±7.40 ^a	0.25±0.10 ^{ab}	0.75±1.50 ^a	0.50±0.10 ^a	1.25±0.50 ^{ab}	0.50±0.07 ^{ab}
F1-K	11.00±4.97 ^b	3.50±2.90 ^a	28.25±14.63 ^{ab}	23.08±16.3 ^a	0.18±0.10 ^b	1.25±1.00 ^a	0.25±0.10 ^a	0.75±0.50 ^{ab}	0.50±0.20 ^{ab}
F1-S	16.50±4.20 ^{ab}	6.25±2.18 ^a	12.50±10.10 ^b	19.25±12.6 ^a	1.00±0.20 ^{ab}	0.25±0.10 ^a	0.24±0.10 ^a	0.75±0.10 ^{ab}	0.50±0.37 ^{ab}
K1	9.00±2.83 ^b	3.75±1.92 ^a	17.50±16.86 ^{ab}	25.25±15.10 ^a	0.25±0.20 ^{ab}	0.50±0.20 ^a	0.50±0.20 ^a	2.25±1.50 ^a	1.00±0.81 ^{ab}
K- F1	32.75±22.88 ^a	3.75±2.97 ^a	19.50±14.80 ^{ab}	27.00±12.76 ^a	0.25±0.20 ^{ab}	1.00±0.20 ^a	0.51±0.10 ^a	1.50±1.11 ^{ab}	0.20±0.10 ^b
L D	28.25±8.10 ^{ab}	1.25±0.50 ^a	9.25±3.40 ^b	28.10±10.44 ^a	1.50±1.00 ^a	1.25±0.50 ^a	0.50±0.20 ^a	1.75±0.26 ^{ab}	1.75±0.40 ^a
L-19F1	36.00±9.66 ^a	3.50±0.32 ^a	12.00±11.52 ^b	19.25±9.50 ^a	0.75±1.00 ^{ab}	2.00±0.44 ^a	0.60±0.30 ^a	0.25±0.10 ^b	1.50±1.30 ^{ab}
TG	17.50±10.66 ^{ab}	2.50±1.38 ^a	43.25±23.94 ^a	14.60±9.20 ^a	0.50±1.00 ^{ab}	2.50±1.10 ^a	0.70±0.10 ^a	1.25±1.00 ^{ab}	0.75±0.50 ^{ab}

Comment [U40]: okra

2 *Note: Means followed by the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at 5% probability level according to Duncan's multiple*
 3 *range tests.*

3.3 Abundance of insect species at the flowering stage.

The mean abundance of insect recorded at the flowering stage on the okra cultivars was significantly different ($P=0.05$) from each other. Plants of L-19F1 had the highest mean number of Flea beetles/plant (32.25 ± 10.30) while cultivar F1-K had the least number per plant (13.25 ± 14.86). In the case of whitefly, cultivar TG had the highest mean abundance per plant (26.75 ± 19.94) while AD had the least. Cultivar K-F1 recorded the highest mean number of ants (21.50 ± 15.20) and spider (1.25 ± 1.00) per plant whilst cultivar AD recorded the least infestation of ants (8.00 ± 6.50) and spider (0.12 ± 0.10). Mealy bug infestation was highest in cultivar AS (2.75 ± 2.22) and least in LD (0.25 ± 0.10). Cultivar LD had the highest mean number of grasshoppers per plant (3.75 ± 0.40) whilst the least mean number (0.20 ± 0.10) was recorded on TG. Cultivar K1, F1-S, L-19F1 and CS had the highest mean number of Aphids, Ladybird beetle, okro leafhopper and Praying mantis of 17.77 ± 5.30 , 1.25 ± 0.50 , 14.0 ± 5.71 and 2.50 ± 1.73 respectively [Table 3]

Comment [U41]: okra

Table 3. Mean abundance of insect species of the ten okra cultivars at the flowering stage.

Cultivar	Flea Beetle	Whitefly	Ants	Aphids	Ladybird beetle	Okro leafhopper	Praying Mantis	Mealybug	Grass Hopper
AD	31.75±24.66 ^a	13.00±5.64 ^a	8.00±6.50 ^b	10.57±0.44 ^a	1.00±0.82 ^a	9.75±6.60 ^{ab}	1.50±1.30 ^{ab}	0.75±0.50 ^{bc}	0.75±0.50 ^{ab}
AS	23.25±22.64 ^a	18.75±13.12 ^a	17.50±4.73 ^b	11.13±5.88 ^a	0.75±0.96 ^a	9.50±5.68 ^{ab}	0.75±1.50 ^b	2.75±2.22 ^a	2.75±2.21 ^a
CS	20.50±12.76 ^a	14.00±4.40 ^a	12.75±2.87 ^b	11.20±5.92 ^a	0.75±1.50 ^a	7.25±5.90 ^{ab}	2.50±1.73 ^a	0.50±0.27 ^c	1.25±0.50 ^{ab}
F1-K	13.25±3.00 ^a	14.25±5.85 ^a	9.00±6.10 ^b	18.20±7.55 ^a	0.75±0.96 ^a	5.00±3.56 ^b	1.50±1.30 ^{ab}	0.50±0.10 ^c	1.25±0.50 ^{ab}
F1-S	20.50±14.86 ^a	22.25±4.03 ^a	20.75±12.40 ^b	16.75±7.30 ^a	1.25±1.50 ^a	9.50±7.14 ^{ab}	0.10±0.00 ^b	0.10±0.00 ^c	2.75±2.22 ^a
K1	13.75±11.50 ^a	18.75±0.60 ^a	6.00±1.50 ^b	17.77±5.30 ^a	1.00±1.41 ^a	8.25±5.12 ^{ab}	0.50±0.10 ^b	1.25±1.50 ^{abc}	1.25±0.50 ^{ab}
K- F1	18.50±12.50 ^a	18.25±3.40 ^a	21.50±9.20 ^b	13.95±9.06 ^a	0.50±0.18 ^a	10.5±3.11 ^{ab}	0.50±0.10 ^b	0.75±0.16 ^{bc}	0.75±0.50 ^{ab}
L D	28.00±14.07 ^a	21.25±14.60 ^a	18.75±13.20 ^b	13.80±6.87 ^a	0.75±0.50 ^a	12.5±7.93 ^{ab}	1.50±0.73 ^{ab}	0.25±0.10 ^c	3.75±0.40 ^b
L-19F1	32.25±10.30 ^a	22.50±14.64 ^a	20.00±10.04 ^b	12.53±2.63 ^a	0.50±1.00 ^a	14.0±5.71 ^a	0.50±0.10 ^b	2.50±0.08 ^{ab}	2.25±1.70 ^{ab}
TG	22.75±12.78 ^a	26.75±19.94 ^a	51.50±20.73 ^a	12.07±3.78 ^a	1.25±1.26 ^a	8.25±3.77 ^{ab}	1.00±0.82 ^{ab}	0.26±0.10 ^c	0.20±0.10 ^b

Note: Means followed by the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at 5% probability level according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

3.4 Mean abundance of insect species at the fruiting stage.

Significant differences ($P=.05$) were obtained in the abundance of insects among the ten okra cultivars at the fruiting stage. Plants of LD had the highest mean abundance of flea beetles per plant (47.50±13.53) whereas F1-K recorded the least (13.50±3.00). With respect to whitefly infestation, plants of L-19F1 had the highest mean abundance of 28.50±13.23 per plant with K1 recording the least (9.75±7.41). Plants of cultivar TG recorded the highest infestation of aphids (77.50±1.64) and ladybird (2.50±3.11) whereas K1 and F1-K had the least (12.50±10.34 and 0.25±0.50 respectively). Similarly, cultivar K-F1 recorded the highest mean number of okro leafhopper (17.00±8.05) whilst CS had the highest number of grasshoppers (2.25±2.06). Cultivar K1 recorded the highest mean incidence of ants (30.50±11.73) and praying mantis (3.25±2.63) [Table 4].

Table 4. Mean abundance of insect of ten okra accessions at the fruiting stage during the study.

Accessions	Flea Beetle	Whitefly	Ants	Aphids	Ladybird beetle	Okro leafhopper	Praying Mantis	Mealybug	Grass Hopper
AD	29.75±7.80 ^{bc}	22.50±9.45 ^a	29.25±4.55 ^{ab}	75.00±2.42 ^{ab}	1.00±1.15 ^a	15.00±13.08a	1.50±1.73 ^a	0.75±1.50 ^{ab}	0.50±1.00 ^a
AS	22.00±7.44 ^{bc}	19.00±4.25 ^a	19.50±5.05 ^{ab}	76.50±8.63 ^{ab}	0.50±1.00 ^a	14.25±12.20a	1.00±0.81 ^a	1.25±0.96 ^{ab}	0.40±1.00 ^a
CS	27.50±4.80 ^{bc}	18.00±4.31 ^a	15.50±11.00 ^{ab}	77.50±4.70 ^{ab}	1.50±1.30 ^a	5.75±6.18a	0.75±0.50 ^a	1.00±1.15 ^{ab}	2.25±2.06 ^a
F1-K	22.00±16.10 ^{bc}	11.25±3.77 ^a	13.50±12.01 ^{ab}	33.75±5.10 ^b	0.25±0.50 ^a	3.50±0.68a	1.50±1.73 ^a	1.25±1.50 ^{ab}	0.75±0.50 ^a
F1-S	32.00±12.30 ^{abc}	15.25±2.75 ^a	9.00±2.94 ^b	53.75±8.50 ^{ab}	1.00±1.41 ^a	4.25±1.43a	2.00±2.82 ^a	2.75±2.21 ^a	0.50±0.58 ^a
K1	21.75±2.90 ^{bc}	9.75±7.41 ^a	30.50±11.73 ^a	12.50±0.34 ^a	0.50±1.00 ^a	12.25±2.12a	3.25±2.63 ^a	0.75±0.96 ^{ab}	0.50±1.00 ^a
K- F1	33.25±14.30 ^{ab}	14.00±3.98 ^a	17.00±12.02 ^{ab}	71.75±9.54 ^{ab}	0.50±1.00 ^a	17.00±8.05a	2.75±2.21 ^a	0.25±0.50 ^b	1.50±1.91 ^a
L D	47.50±13.53 ^a	21.00±9.20 ^a	16.75±8.40 ^{ab}	75.00±4.90 ^{ab}	1.00±2.00 ^a	10.75±9.32a	1.00±1.41 ^a	1.75±2.22 ^{ab}	1.25±1.50 ^a
L-19F1	46.00±3.20 ^a	28.50±3.23 ^a	16.00±0.60 ^{ab}	56.75±2.74 ^{ab}	1.75±2.06	13.75±3.09a	2.00±0.82 ^a	0.75±0.96 ^{ab}	1.50±1.30 ^a
TG	17.25±14.86 ^c	22.00±6.77 ^a	13.75±7.37 ^{ab}	77.50±1.64 ^{ab}	2.50±3.11 ^a	12.50±8.50a	2.00±1.15 ^a	2.00±1.15 ^{ab}	0.75±0.96 ^a

Note: Means followed by the same superscript in the same column are not significantly different at 5% probability level according to Duncan's multiple range tests.

Green peach aphid (*Myzus persicae*), flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.), Okro leafhopper (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula*), whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*), striped mealybug (*Ferrisia virgata*), Black carpenter ants (*Camponotus* sp.), spider (*Hogna lenta*), ladybird beetle (*Cheilomenes lunata*), grasshopper (*Zonocerus variegatus*) and praying mantis (*Mantis religiosa*) were the common insects observed at vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages. The total number of insects differed from one stage to another. A total of 1,439 insects were recorded at the fruiting stage which was significantly higher than the flowering (855) and vegetative stages (693). The variation in the number of insect species observed at the different developmental stages could be due to environmental changes as suggested by Abro *et al.*, [21]. Mean whitefly count was relatively low at the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages of the cultivars. However, Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) and Green peach aphid numbers increased progressively throughout all the stages.

Comment [U42]: okra

3.5 Severity of leaf damage by flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.).

Mean severity scores of leaf damage by flea beetles (*Podagrica* sp.) during the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages of the various cultivars are shown in Table 5. The result showed that the severity of damage during the above stages differed significantly among the ten okra cultivars. Cultivar F1-S had the highest severity score (4.56.50 perforations) whilst the least score (2; 16.40 perforations) was associated with CS. There were significant differences leaf damage by the flea beetle, and among the okra cultivars during the vegetative stage. At the flowering stage, the highest damage was observed in L-19F1 (5; 68.60 perforations) whilst the least was observed in LD (3; 33.10 perforations). With respect to the fruiting stage, plants from accession CS recorded the highest mean leaf damage (5; 79.70 perforations) followed by L-19F1 (5;78.10) with AS having the least leaf damage, (3;41.10). According to Echezona and Offordile [9], the feeding activity of flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) causes damage consisting of characteristic perforations of leaves resulting in uneven holes which decrease the photosynthetic surface area of the leaves, culminating in high yield loss of okra. In the present study, leaf damage was significantly higher at the fruiting stage compared with the flowering and vegetative stages. These results are consistent with those of Eguatu and Taylor [22] and Schipers [23] who reported increase in leaf damage caused by flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) at the reproductive stages than the vegetative stage due to abundance of food sources such as pods, flowers and buds that attract a lot of the flea beetle to the okra plant. Plants of cultivars LD and AS were the most promising, recording the least leaf damage and. These cultivars exhibit a good inherent potential to withstand insect attack and as such would be good materials for cultivation by farmers and for breeding.

Comment [U43]: to many space

Table 5. The severity of leaf damage by Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) during three developmental stages of ten okra accessions.

Accession	Number of perforations per leaf		
	Vegetative* stage	Flowering* stage	Fruiting* stage
AD	(2)17.10	(4)51.40	(5)64.70
AS	(3)38.00	(3)40.10	(3)41.10
CS	(2)16.70	(5)68.60	(5)79.70
F1-K	(2)16.40	(4)54.90	(5)68.10
F1-S	(4)56.50	(4)50.55	(5)64.45
K1	(2)20.00	(4)59.85	(4)57.55
K- F1	(3)33.10	(4)49.95	(4)54.15
L D	(2)22.20	(3)33.10	(4)56.65
L-19F1	(3)39.50	(5)61.65	(5)78.10
TG	(2)29.40	(3)44.25	(5)72.70

*Bolted value in bracket indicates damage level on a five-point scale whereas corresponding value represents the number of leaf perforations. The scoring scale is as follows: 1 very mild damage (1 to 15 perforations); 2 mild damage (16 to 30 perforations); 3 moderately severe damage (31 to 45 perforations); 4 very severe damage (46 to 60 perforations); 5 extremely severe damage (more than 60 perforations).

4. CONCLUSION

A total of thirteen insect types belonging to five orders (Coleoptera, Homoptera, Hymenoptera, Mantodea and Orthoptera) and thirteen families (Chrysomelidae, Coccinellidae, Pyrgomorphidae, Meloidae, Noctuidae, Nolidae, Cicadellidae, Aleyrodidae, Aphididae, Pseudococcidae, Mantidae, Formicidae, and Acrididae) were identified on the field. Out the thirteen families recorded, two beneficial organisms Ladybird beetle and Spider belonging to the Coccinellidae and Lycosidae respectively were also found to be present on the field. A total of 1,439 insects were recorded at the fruiting stage which was significantly higher than the flowering (855) and vegetative stages (693). Whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) count was relatively low at the vegetative, flowering and fruiting stages of the cultivars. However, Flea beetle (*Podagrica* sp.) numbers increased progressively throughout all the stages., Leaf damage was significantly higher at the fruiting stage compared to the flowering and vegetative stages. Plants of cultivars LD and AS were the most promising recording the least leaf damage.

1
2
3 **COMPETING INTERESTS**
4

5 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
6
7

8
9 **REFERENCES**
10

- 11 1. SRID-MOFA. Statistical Research and Information Directorate, Ministry of
12 Food and Agriculture, (Ghana). Production Figures. 2007; 56 -57.
- 13 2. Hayase D. Field evaluation of neem seed extract for the control of insect
14 pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench). Bsc. dissertation
15 submitted to the crop science department, Faculty of Agriculture, University of
16 Ghana. 2001;17-41.
- 17 3. Sinnadurai S. Vegetable production in Ghana. The Ghana Farmer, Ministry of
18 Agriculture, Ghana. 1971;20.
- 19 4. Critchley BR. Pests of Vegetables. Their Identification and control in Ghana.
20 Natural Resource Institute, Greenwich. Department of International
21 Development. University of Greenwich. 1997;282.
- 22 5. Tindal HD. Fruits and vegetables in West Africa. FAO plant production and
23 protection series 1965; 4:55-56.
- 24 6. Norman JC. Okra: Tropical vegetable crops. Arthur H. Stock well Ltd.
25 1992;56-58.
- 26 7. Obeng-Ofori D. Insect Pest of Vegetables and Plantation Crops. Their biology
27 and control. Asempra Publishers Ltd. 1998;87.
- 28 8. Obeng-Ofori D, Sackey J. Field evaluation of non-synthetic insecticides for the
29 management of insect pests of okra *Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench in
30 Ghana. Ethiopian J. Sci. 2003; 26:145-150.
- 31 9. Echezona BL, Offordile JI. Responses of flea beetles (*Podagrica* spp.) and
32 okra plants (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) to differently coloured
33 polyethylene shades. Intl. J. Pest Manag't. 2001;57(2):161-168.
- 34 10. Alegbejo MD. Effect of sowing date on the incidence and severity of Okra
35 mosaic Tymovirus. J. Veg. Crop Prod. 2001; 8:9-14.
- 36 11. Ali M, Hossain MZ, Sarkern NC. Inheritance of Yellow Vein Mosaic Virus
37 (YVMV) tolerance in a cultivar of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench).
38 *Euphytica*. 2000;111(3):205-209.
- 39 12. Asare-Bediako E, Van der Puije GC, Taah KJ, Abole EA, and Baidoo, A.
40 (2014). Prevalence of Okra Mosaic and Leaf Curl Diseases and *Podagrica* sp.
41 Damage of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) Plants. Interna. J. current rev.
42 academ. res. 2014;2 (6):260-271.
- 43 13. FAO/UNESCO. "Soil Map of the World". Revised Legend, World Resources
44 Report 60. FAO, Rome, Italy. 1994.
- 45 14. Akaho EKH, Maakuu BT, Anim-Sampong S, Emi-Reynolds, G., Boadu HO,
46 Osae EK, Akoto-Bamford S, Dodoo-Amoo, D.N.A. Intermediate safety
47 analysis report (GAEC-NNRI-RT-90). 2003.
- 48 15. Dickson KB, Benneh G. A new geography of Ghana. Longmans Group
49 Limited, London. 2004.

- 50 16. Legaspi JC, Correa JA, Carruthers RI, Legaspi Junior BC, Nordlund DA.
51 Effect of short-term releases of *Chrysoperla rufilabris* (Neuroptera:
52 Chrysopidae) against silverleaf whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) in field
53 cages. J. Ento. Sci. 1996a; 31:102-111.
- 54 17. Legaspi JC, Nordlund DA, Legaspi Junior BC. Tri-trophic interactions and
55 predation rates in *Chrysoperla* spp. attacking the silverleaf whitefly.
56 Southwestern Entomologist. 1996b; 21:33-42.
- 57 18. Liu TX, Stansly PA. Morphology of *Nephaspis oculatus* and *Delphastus*
58 *pusillus* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), predators of *Bemisia argentifolii*
59 (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of
60 Washington. 1996a; 98:292-300.
- 61 19. Liu TX, Stansly PA. Oviposition, development, and survivorship of *Encarsia*
62 *pergandiella* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) in four instars of *Bemisia argentifolii*
63 (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). An. Ento. I Soci. Amer. 89 :96-102.
- 64 20. Pfadt RE. Fundamentals of applied entomology. Macmillan Company, New
65 York. 1980; 99:24-126.
- 66 21. Abro GH, Memon AJ, Syed TS, Shaikh AA. Infestation of *Earia* sp. on cotton
67 and okra grown as mono and mix crops. Pakistan J. of Biol. Sci, 7.
68 2004;(6):937-942.
- 69 22. Egwuatu RI, Taylor TA. (1976). Aspects of the spatial distribution of
70 *Acanthomia Tomentosicollis* stal (Heteroptera, Coreidae) in *Cajanus cajan*
71 (Pigeon pea). J. Econ. Ento. 1975; 69(5):591-594.
- 72 23. Schipper RR. African Indigenous Vegetables. An Overview of the cultivated
73 species. Chathaam, UK: Natural Resources Institute/ACP-EO Technical
74 Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation. UK. 2000.
- 75
76
77
78
79
80