Original Research Article

Effects of Tin mine Tailings on the Growth and Development of Common Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) in the Jos, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aims: To study the effects of mine tailings on the growth and yield of two genotypes of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)

Study design: The experiment was laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) and each treatment was replicated three times.

Place and Duration of Study: University of Jos botanical garden Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria during the rainy season in a field experiment in 2014.

Methodology: The mine tailings combinations include four different additions mine tailings soil {(T_0) 0 kg as control, (T_1) 2 kg, (T_2) 3 kg, (T_3) 4 kg} and the respective mine tailings soils were added to 6.3 kg of normal soil. Two common bean accessions were used (Cranberry-G1 and Pinto-G2), which gave the total of eight treatment combinations (T_0G_1, T_0G_2, T_1G_1, T_1G_2, T_2G_1, T_2G_2, T_3G_1, T_3G_2).

Results: The control recorded significant higher mean plant height (cm), number of leaves and number of trifoliate leaves, number of pods and number of seeds per pod in both genotypes for all the different WAP. A significant decrease in plant height, number of trifoliate leaves, number of leaves per plant, number of pods and number of seeds per pod in both genotypes with increase in levels of mine tailings. There was a significant increase in time to 50% flowering and 50% pod production (*P = 0.01*) over the control which increased with increasing levels of mine tailings. The genotypes exhibited no significant difference (*P = 0.05*) for most traits accessed, except for number of pod per plant. It is evident from the findings that Cranberry is more tolerant to heavy metals contamination in soil, perhaps may be more suitable for planting in such mining soils.

Conclusion: The study showed that inclusion of mine tailings had detrimental effect on both the growth and yield of common bean

Keywords: Tin-mine Tailings, Growth, Common Bean (Phaseolus Vulgaris L.), Jos, Nigeria

1. INTRODUCTION

[1] reported that about 4% (325 km^2) of the total land in the Jos plateau (8600 km^2) has been degraded by the Op… method of mining resulting in the deposition of large volumes of tailings on the soil among other things. Mining a… are well known for their deleterious effects on the environment, due to the deposition of large volumes of tailings on the soil [2, 3]. Mining activities particularly the old method of mining exposes heavy metals into the top soil, which a… washed into farming areas and causes environmental degradation, such as destruction of landscapes, soil degra… thereby tampering with plant growth [4].

Comment [a1]: This sentence would have been better composed like this instead of what you have. ".....in both genotypes were observed with increased levels of mine tailings".

Comment [a2]: Units like percentages are written after a space, Like this: "50 %" not "50%". Effect the corrections throughout the manuscript please.

Comment [a3]: ??? Number 1 cannot report

Comment [a4]: Re-write

Comment [a5]: This should be "....exposes the top soil to heavy metals" not "exposes heavy metals into the top soil

[5] earlier on reported that competitive land use in the Jos Plateau is putting pressure on the on the local farmers forcing them to grow crops on these mine spoils. Thus It would also be interesting to study the soil-crop relationship on these soils so as to understand the challenges faced by the local farmer.

Soil characteristics of Tin mine tailings are generally low fertility and unfavourable physical conditions that results in very low productivity because ex-mining land had very low capability to provide plants with necessary nutrients for growth. Attempts to utilize the tailings for agricultural use through experiments and trials have been made by the Tin Mine Spoil Researchers among others. These included forest tree planting, growing of agricultural crops and improvement of the soil by several methods to elevate the fertility status and create a more favourable condition for plant growth [6].

Whereas, heavy metals such as Cu and Zn are essential for normal plant growth and development, elevated concentrations of both essential and non-essential heavy metals in the soil can lead to toxicity symptoms and growth inhibition in most plants [7]. Excessive concentration of heavy metals in plants can cause oxidative stress and stomatal resistance [8,9], thereby affecting the normal physiological processes in plants. It can also affect photosynthesis and chlorophyll florescence processes [10]. Copper can inhibit photosynthesis and reproductive processes; lead reduces chlorophyll production, arsenic interferes with metabolic processes, while zinc and tin stimulate the growth of leaves and shoots; ultimately plant growth becomes limited or impossible [11,12,13]. Studies on the performance of cultivated plants around the mining areas in Jos will be of importance, especially for common bean *Phaseolus vulgaris* since it is widely cultivated around the mining areas in Jos. Therefore, the objectives of the study was to determine the effect of different levels of mine tailings on the growth and development of the common bean *(Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was carried out at the Botanical Garden of Botany Department University of Jos, Nigeria during the rainy season of 2013. For the present study two *Phaseolus* cultivars viz., Cranberry and Pinto were procured from Angwang Rukubu market in Jos.

The experimental design employed was a Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD). The treatments include four different additions of mine tailings soil {(T_0) 0 kg as control, (T_1) 2 kg, (T_2) 3 kg, (T_3) 4 kg} to 6.3 kg of normal soil. Two common bean accessions (Cranberry-G1 and Pinto-G2) were planted, which gave the total of eight treatment combinations (T_0G_1, T_0G_2, T_1G_1, T_1G_2, T_2G_1, T_2G_2, T_3G_1, T_3G_2) and each treatment was replicated thrice on each row in each block. The plot was designed to have 8 blocks, each block having 3 rows for the 3 replicates of seed (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). The plot was measured to be 5.0 m × 5.0 m. Each block was measured to be 2.50 m × 2.50 m with rows of 2.0 m long.

Three seeds of common bean genotypes were sown into polythene bag containing the amended soils at a depth of 2-5 cm and later thinned to two per pot at 2 weeks after plantings. A viability test was carried out before planting by exposing 20 soaked seeds of the genotypes to light and the date of germination and the percentage viability was recorded.

The mine tailings soil was collected from the mine site situated along the Golf Course Rayfield in Jos, and analyzed at Federal College of Land Resources, Kuru, Jos, Plateau State Nigeria to determine the soil mineral contents and pH.

Plant characters which include plant height (cm), number of leaves, number of trifoliate leaves, days to flower initiation, days to 50% flowering, days to 50% pod production, number of pod per plant and number of seeds per pod were determined at 4, 6, and 8 weeks after planting (WAP). The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS (version 21) and the means were separated using least significant difference (LSD).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RESULTS

The effect of genotype on plant height, number of leaves, number of trifoliate and under different levels of mine tailings at different weeks of growth after planting is shown in Table 1. Generally, Cranberry recorded higher plant height, number of trifoliate leaves and number of leaves compared to Pinto, even though the difference was not significant (*P = .05*).

With regards to the effect of Mine tailings on growth characters, the control recorded significantly higher mean plant height (cm), number of leaves and number of trifoliate leaves for all the different WAP. A significant decrease in plant height, number of trifoliate leaves, number of leaves was observed with increase in levels of mine tailings (Figure 1, 2 and 3). A notable observation was that at 4 WAP, the 2 kg mine tailings produced a significantly higher plant height and number of

Comment [a6]: Re-write

Comment [a7]: Is this suppose to be ”spoils” or ”soils”?

Comment [a8]: Replace ”had” to ”have’

Comment [a9]: Spoil or soil?

Comment [a10]: These two chemical symbols are appearing for the first time and so, have to be wrtitten in full. ”Copper (Cu) and Zinc (Zn)”. Subsequently, you can write symbols

Comment [a11]: Replace ”objectives” to ”objective”

Comment [a12]: The abstract said ”rainy season of 2014”, why are we having disparity?

trifoliate leaves compared to the control (*P* = *.001*). A significant (*P* = *.05*) interaction was also recorded between the genotypes and mine tailings levels with respect to plant height at WAP (Table 1).

Table 1: Effect of genotypes and mine tailings on plant height, number of leaves and number of trifoliate leaf of Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) at different WAP.

Character	Genotype	Days after Planting			
		4 WAP	6 WAP	8WAS	COMBINED
Plant height	Cranberry(G_1)	16.1[a]	25.1[a]	42.9[a]	28
	Pinto(G_2)	15.7[a]	23.6[a]	41.8[a]	27
	L.S.$D_{0.05}$	0.9	4.1	2.5	
Number of leaves	Cranberry (G_1)	25.2[a]	34.8[a]	40.8[a]	33.6
	Pinto (G_2)	23.4[a]	32.5[a]	38.1[a]	31.3
	L.S.$D_{0.05}$	2.2	3.1	5.6	
Number of trifoliate leaf	Cran berry(G1)	7.1[a]	15.9[a]	27.3[a]	16.8
	Pinto(G2)	7.4[a]	14.0[a]	26.0[a]	15.8
	L.S.D (0.05)	1.6	3.1	1.4	

WAP = Weeks After Planting, G = Genotype, Means followed by the same letter within the same column and character are not significantly different at 5% level of probability.



Figure 1. Changes in the Plant Height of *P. vulgaris* grown under different concentrations of Mine Tailings at 4, 6, and 8 WAP



Figure 2. Changes in the number of leaves of *P. vulgaris* grown under different concentrations of Mine Tailings at 4, 6, and 8 WAP

1.



Figure 3. Changes in the number of trifoliate leaves of *P. vulgaris* grown under different concentrations of Mine Tailings at 4, 6, and 8 WAP

The results genotypes effect on yield characters (Table 2) showed that although Pinto took longer days to 50% flower and for 50% pod production compared to cranberry even, the difference was not statistically significant ($P = .05$). On the other hand Cranberry recorded higher number of pod and seeds per pod than Pinto but the different was only significant for Number of pods per plant.

Comment [a13]: Please, re-phrase this phrase, the meaning is not clear

Comment [a14]: Correct this phrase to this please. ".....Pinto but, the difference was....."

Table 2. Effect of genotypes and mine tailings levels on yield characters of Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris)* at harvest.

Treatments	Days to 50%Flowering	Days to 50% podding	Number of pod/plant	Number of seed/pod
Genotypes				
Cranberry(G_1)	48.8[a]	63.6[a]	9.3[a]	8.4[a]
Pinto(G_2)	49.8[a]	64.3[a]	6.9[b]	8.1[a]
$L.S.D_{0.05}$	1.5	1.4	2.3	2.3
Mine Tailings				
Control (T_0)	42.5[d]	56.5[d]	12.3[a]	9.7[a]
2Kg mine tailings (T_1)	47.3[c]	62.0[c]	8.8[b]	8.8[a]
3kg mine tailings (T_2)	52.5[b]	66.3[b]	8.5[b]	8.5[a]
4kg mine tailings (T_3)	54.8[a]	70.8[a]	6.1[c]	6.2[b]
$L.S.D_{0.05}$	1.5	1.4	2.3	2.3

There was a significant increase in time to 50% flowering and 50% pod production (*P = .01*) under increasing concentration of mine tailings. It was also observed that variations in the two characters across the two genotypes were more pronounced at higher levels of mine tailings and tend to decreased with decreased in levels of mine tailings (Figure 4).

Comment [a15]: Correct to ".....tend to decrease with decreased levels of mine ....."



Fig 4: Effect of genotypes and mine tailings on Yield Characters of Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)

Along with the delay in flowering and pod production time under increasing concentration of mine tailings, significant decrease were recorded for number of pods and number of seeds per pod in both genotypes with increased levels of mine tailings (Figure 5).

Comment [a16]: This sentence is too long, re-phrase



Fig 5: Effect of Mine tailings on Yield Characters of Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)

Discussion

In the present study, exposure to mine tailings affected different parameters in common beans. The result revealed Growth parameters such as plant height, number of trifoliate leaves, number of leaves, decrease drastically with increase in levels of mine tailings compared to the control.

The result further revealed that higher concentrations of mine tailings leads to delay in flowering, delay in pod production, and decrease in number of pods per plant and number of seeds per pod. This indicates that the yield of common bean is affected by increase in the level of mine tailings. This result is in agreement with the earlier report of [10] who observed that heavy metals at high concentrations when taking in by plant can inhibit photosynthesis and reproductive processes there by affecting their final yield.

The result further revealed that no significant interaction occurred between the genotype and mine tailings in all other characters. This indicates the differences among the genotypes for most of the character accessed does not change across different levels of mine tailings.

The high significant difference observed between the levels of mine tailings in respect to most character assessed indicates that mine tailings have great effects on the growth and productivity of common bean. Several researchers [7,14] have reported similar results that elevated concentrations of both essential and nonessential metals in the soil can lead to toxicity symptoms and growth inhibition in most plants. [14] carried out a research on soybean, and reported that lead at high concentrations affect its early growth. They also reported that arsenic (As) has adverse effects on plant growth.

4. CONCLUSION

The present result showed that mine tailings has effect on the growth and productivity of the common bean. This Study shows that mining tailings at high concentration has direct effects on the growth and yield of common beans. This study showed that the genotype Cranberry (G_1) may be used in mine tailings because of its ability to tolerate high levels of mine tailings than Pinto (G_2).

Comment [a17]: "revealed that"

Comment [a18]: Insert the person's name like this "Monni *et al*. [10]

Comment [a19]: "genotypes"

Comment [a20]: "indicates that"

Comment [a21]: "do not"

Comment [a22]: Punctuate this sentence properly

Comment [a23]: Insert then person's name

Comment [a24]: This your resolution is incorrect and worrisome; it needs to be modified

COMPETING INTERESTS

None

REFERENCES

1. Alexander, MJ. Soil characteristics and the factors influencing their development on mine spoil of the Jos Plateau. Jos Plateau Environmental Resources Development Programme, Interim Report No. 11. University of Durham, Durham, UK. 1986.

2. Dudka S, Adriano DC. Environmental impacts of metal ore mining and processing: A review. Journal of Environmental Quality, 1997;26 (3), 590–602..

3. Goyal P, Sharma P, Srivastava S, Srivastava MM. *Saraca indica* powder for decontamination of Pb: Removal, recovery, adsorbent characterization and equilibrium modeling. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2008;5 (1), 27-34.

4. Adepetu AA. Agricultural Practice and Adjustments in the Tin mining region of the Jos Plateau. The Geographical Journal. 1986;160(76).

5. Adepetu AA. Farmers and their farms on four Fadamas on the Jos Plateau (Nigeria). Environmental Resources Development Programme (J. PERDP) Interim Report. Jos Plateau. 1985.

6. Tanpibal V, Sahunalu P. Characteristics and management of tin mine tailing in Thailand. Journal of Soil Technology 1989;2. Halaman 17-26.

7. Hall JL. Cellular mechanisms for heavy metal detoxification and Tolerance. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2002;53 (366): 1-11.

8. Fayiga AO, Ma LQ, Cao X, Rathinasabapathi B. Effects of heavy metals on growth and arsenic accumulation in the arsenic hyperaccumulator Pteris vittata L. Environ. Poll. 2004;132 (2), 289-296 .

9. Shah BA, Shah AV, Singh RR. Sorption isotherms and kinetics of chromium uptake from wastewater using natural sorbent material. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2009;6 (1), 77-90.

10. Monni S, Uhlig C, Hansen E, Magel E. Ecophysiological responses of *Empertrum nigrum* to heavy metal pollution. Environmental Pollution. 2001;112 (2), 121-129.

11. 11. Gardea-Torresdey, J. L.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; de la Rosa, G.; Parsons, J. G., (2005).Phytoremediation of heavy metals and study of the metal coordination by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. Coordin. Chem. Rev., 249 (17-18), 1797- 1810.

12. Ling T, Guanghua Z, Jun R. Effects of Chromiumon seed germination, root elongation and coleoptile growth in six pulses. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2009;6 (4), 571-578.

13. Ayari F, Hamdi H, Jedidi N, Gharbi N, Kossai R. Heavy metal distribution in soil and plant in municipal solid waste compost amended plots. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2010;7 (3), 465-472

14. Atafar Z, Mesdaghinia A, Nouri J, Homaee M, Yunesian M, Yunesian M, Ahmadimoghaddam, M. Mahvi AH. Effect of fertilizer application on soil heavy metal concentration. Environ. Monitor. Assess. 2010;160 (1-4), 83-89.Only published or accepted manuscripts should be included in the reference list.